arXiv:1210.1571v1 [math-ph] 4 Oct 2012

The singular coagulation equation with multifragmentation

Carlos Cueto Camejo*, Gerald Warnecke
Institute for Analysis and Numerics, Otto-von-Guericke University Magdeburg,
Universitätsplatz 2, D-39106 Magdeburg, Germany

October 8, 2012

Abstract

In this article we prove the existence of solutions to the singular coagulation equation with multifragmentation. We use weighted L^1-spaces to deal with the singularities. The Smoluchowski kernel is covered by our proof. The weak L^1 compactness methods are applied to suitably chosen approximating equations as a base of our proof. A more restrictive uniqueness result is also given.

1 Introduction

The coagulation process describes the kinetics of particle growth where particles can coagulate to form larger particles via binary interaction. On the oder side, the fragmentation process describes how particles break into two or more fragments. Examples of these processes can be found e.g. in astrophysics, polymer science [15], and cloud physics [10, Chapter 15].

The dynamic of the coagulation-fragmentation process is described by the integro-differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K(x-y,y)u(x-y,t)u(y,t)\,dy - \int_0^\infty K(x,y)u(x,t)u(y,t)\,dy \\ &\quad + \int_x^\infty b(x,y)S(y)u(y,t)\,dy - S(x)u(x,t), \qquad (1)\end{aligned}$$

with initial condition

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e.} \qquad (2)$$

where the non-negative variables x and t represent the size of the particles and time respectively. The values $u(x,t)$ denote the number density of particles with size x at time t. The rate at which particles of size x coalesce with particles of size y is represented by the coagulation kernel $K(x,y)$. The rate at which particules of size x are selected to break is determined by the selection function $S(x)$. The breakage function $b(x,y)$ gives the number of particules of size x produced when a particule of size y breaks up.

The equation (1) is named the continuous coagulation equation with multiple-fragmentation where the multiple-fragmentation kernel Γ defines the selection function S and the breakage function b by

$$S(x) = \int_0^x \frac{x}{y}\Gamma(x,y)dy, \qquad b(x,y) = \Gamma(y,x)/S(y),$$

or vice versa.

The breakage function is assumed here to have the following properties

$$\int_0^y xb(x,y)dx = y \quad \text{for all} \quad y > 0, \qquad (3)$$

---

*Corresponding author. Fax: +49 391 6718073,
E-mail address: cuetacam@st.ovgu.de (C. Cueto Camejo).

which is the conservation of mass and

$$\int\limits_0^y b(x,y)dx = N < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad y > 0,\ b(x,y) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x > y, \tag{4}$$

where the parameter N represents the number of particles produced in fragmentation events. In this paper N is assumed to be finite and independent of y. Equation (3) allows the system to conserve the total mass during the fragmentation events. It states that the total mass of the fragments is equal to the mass y of the particle that breaks.

The existence and uniqueness of solutions to the coagulation-fragmentation equation has already been the content of several papers results. Nevertheless, the case of multifragmentation, that is, when the particules can break into two or more parts, has not yet been treated very often. Most results concern binary breakage. The first result in regard to the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the coagulation equation with multifragmentation was given by Melzak [8], where the coagulation and multifragmentation kernels were assumed to be bounded. For more recently result see e.g. [7], [6] and [5]. In [7], Laurençot considered unbounded coagulation and fragmentation kernels, for coagulation kernels on the form $K(x,y) = r(x)r(y)$ with no growth restriction on r and a small growth asumptions on Γ depending on r. Giri et al. [5] studied the coagulation kernels of the form $K(x,y) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for some sublinear function ϕ under the growth restriction $\phi(x) \leq (1+x)^\mu$ for $0 \leq \mu < 1$, and the selection function $S(x)$ is also considered under the same growth assumption. In [6], Giri et al. proved the existence of solutions to the coagulation equation with multifragmentation for a more general fragmentation kernel, in order to cover the fragmentation kernel $\Gamma(y,x) = (\alpha+2)x^\alpha y^{\gamma-(\alpha+1)}$ getting a result for $\alpha > -1$ and $\gamma \in]0, \alpha+2[$. The existence proofs in [6] and [5] are based on the well known basic method by Stewart [12], where the solution is obtained through the convergence of the solutions to a sequence of truncated problems. In [6] and [5] the existences and uniqueness of solutions to the truncated problems is claimed to be solved by [12, Theorem 3.1], but the truncated problems in [6] and [5] do not satisfy the hypotheses of [12, Theorem 3.1], leaving in that way a gap in the proof of the original problems. Stewart required in [12, Theorem 3.1] the binary fragmentation kernels $F(x,y)$ to be symmetric, i.e. $F(x,y) = F(y,x)$ while the multifragmentation kernels $\Gamma(y,x)$ in [6] and [5] are not necessarily symmetric. On the oder hand, in [6] and [5] the study is concerned on the multifragmentation kernels which is a more general case than the binary fragmentation studied by Stewart [12]. In [6] the uniqueness of the solutions was not studied.

In the present article, our first aim is to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the coagulation equation with multifragmentation with singular coagulation kernels

$$K(x,y) \leq k(1+x)^\lambda(1+y)^\lambda(xy)^{-\sigma} \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda - \sigma \in [0,1[, \sigma \in [0,1/2], \tag{5}$$

giving in this way an existence and uniqueness result for the case of the important Smoluchowki coagulation kernel

$$K(x,y) = (x^{1/3} + y^{1/3})(x^{-1/3} + y^{-1/3})$$

for Brownian motion, see Smoluchowski [11]. The equi-partition of kinetic energy (EKE) kernel

$$K(x,y) = (x^{1/3} + y^{1/3})^2\sqrt{\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y}},$$

is also covered by our analysis. We want to point out that there is no previous result concerning the coagulation-fragmentation equation with singular coagulation kernels. Our existence result is based on the proof of Stewart [12]. We extend the methods we developed in [2] for singular kernels in the pure coagulation problem.

For our existence and uniqueness result we consider the class of fragmentation kernels

$$\Gamma(y,x) \leq y^\theta b(x,y) \quad \text{with} \quad \int\limits_0^y b(x,y)x^{-2\sigma} \leq Cy^{-2\sigma} \quad \text{for} \quad \theta \in [0,1[, \sigma \in [0,1/2], \text{ and a constant } C. \tag{6}$$

The class of frangmentation kernels (6) includes the kernel $\Gamma(y,x) = (\alpha+2)x^\alpha y^{\gamma-(\alpha+1)}$ for $\alpha > -1$ and $\gamma \in [0,1[$. This kernel was studied by Giri et al. [6], where they proved the existence of weak solutions to the coagulation equation with multifragmentation, but with nonsingular coagulation kernels.

Our second aim is to fill the gap left in [6]. For $\sigma = 0$ kernels (5) and (6) become

$$K(x,y) \leq k(1+x)^\lambda(1+y)^\lambda \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda \in [0,1[\tag{7}$$

and

$$\Gamma(y,x) \leq y^\theta b(x,y) \quad \text{with} \quad \theta \in [0,1[. \tag{8}$$

The coagulation kernels (7) are the ones considered in [6] and taking $b(x,y)=x^{-\tau}$ in (8) with $\tau\in[0,1[$ we also have the fragmentation kernel from [6]. Therefore our proof of existence and uniqueness of the solutions to the truncated problem in [6] will be covered by our result for $\sigma=0$.

In order to study the existence of solutions of (1)-(2), we define for some given $\sigma\in[0,1/2]$ the space Y to be the following Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$

$$Y=\left\{u\in L^1(]0,\infty[):\|u\|_Y<\infty\right\}\quad\text{where}\quad \|u\|_Y=\int\limits_0^\infty(x+x^{-2\sigma})|u(x,t)|dx.$$

That Y is a Banach space is easily seen. We also write

$$\|u\|_x=\int\limits_0^\infty xu(x,t)dx\quad\text{and}\quad \|u\|_{x^{-2\sigma}}=\int\limits_0^\infty x^{-2\sigma}u(x,t)dx,$$

and set

$$Y^+=\{u\in Y:u\geq 0\quad a.e.\}.$$

Now we define a weak solution to problem (1)-(2) in the s ame way as Stewart [12]:

Definition 1.1 *Let $T\in]0,\infty]$. A solution $u(x,t)$ of (1)-(2) is a function $u:[0,T[\longrightarrow Y^+$ such that for a.e. $x\in[0,\infty[$ and $t\in[0,T[$ the following properties hold*

i) *$u(x,t)\geq 0$ for all $t\in[0,\infty[$,*

ii) *$u(x,\cdot)$ is continuous on $[0,T[$,*

iii) *for all $t\in[0,T[$ the following integral is bounded*

$$\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_0^\infty K(x,y)u(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau<\infty\quad\text{and}\quad\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_x^\infty b(x,y)S(y)u(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau<\infty$$

iv) *for all $t\in[0,T[$, u satisfies the following weak formulation of (1)*

$$u(x,t)=u(x,0)+\int\limits_0^t\left[\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^x K(x-y,y)u(x-y,\tau)u(y,\tau)\,dy-\int\limits_0^\infty K(x,y)u(x,\tau)u(y,\tau)\,dy\right.$$
$$\left.+\int\limits_x^\infty b(x,y)S(y)u(y,t)\,dy-S(x)u(x,t)\right]d\tau.$$

In the next sections we make use of the following hypotheses

Hypotheses 1.2

H1) *$K(x,y)$ is a continuous non-negative function on $]0,\infty[\times]0,\infty[$,*

H2) *$K(x,y)$ is a symmetric function, i.e. $K(x,y)=K(y,x)$ for all $x,y\in]0,\infty[$,*

H3) *$K(x,y)\leq\kappa(1+x)^\lambda(1+y)^\lambda(xy)^{-\sigma}$ for $\lambda-\sigma\in[0,1[,\sigma\in[0,1/2]$, and constant κ,*

H4) *$S(x)\leq y^\theta$ for $\theta\in[0,1[$,*

H5) *$b(x,y)$ is such that $\int\limits_0^y b(x,y)x^{-2\sigma}dx\leq Cy^{-2\sigma}$.*

In the rest of the paper we consider $\kappa=1$ for the simplicity.

We study the uniqueness of the solutions to (1)-(2) under the following further hypotheses

H3') *$K(x,y)\leq\kappa_1(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})$ such that σ, $\lambda-\sigma\in[0,1/2]$ and $k_1>0$,*

H4') *$S(x) = y^\theta$ for $\theta \leq \lambda - \sigma$.*

The restriction $\lambda - \sigma \in [0, 1/2]$ in **H3')** limits our uniqueness result to a subset of the kernels of the class defined in **H3)**, namely to the ones for which $\lambda - \sigma \in [0, 1/2]$ holds. But the class of kernels defined in **H3')** is also wider than the defined in **H3)** for $\lambda - \sigma \in [0, 1/2]$. In this way we are also giving uniqueness result for kernels which are not included in the class defined in **H3)**. On the oder hand, the restriction $\theta \leq \lambda - \sigma$ in **H4')** limits our uniqueness result to a more restricted class of fragmentation kernels.

We introduce now some easily derived inequalities that will be used throughout the paper. The proof of these inequalities can be found in Giri [4]. For any $x, y > 0$

$$2^{p-1}(x^p + y^p) \leq (x+y)^p \leq x^p + y^p \qquad \text{if} \qquad 0 \leq p \leq 1, \tag{9}$$

$$2^{p-1}(x^p + y^p) \geq (x+y)^p \geq x^p + y^p \qquad \text{if} \qquad p \geq 1, \tag{10}$$

$$2^{p-1}(x^p + y^p) \geq (x+y)^p \qquad \text{if} \qquad p < 0. \tag{11}$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define the sequence of truncated problems and prove in Theorem 2.4 the existence and uniqueness of solutions to them. We extract a weakly convergent subsequence in L^1 from a sequence of unique solutions for truncated equations to (1)-(2). In Section 3 we show that the solution of (1) is actually the limit function obtained from the weakly convergent subsequence of solutions of the truncated problem. In Section 4 we prove the uniqueness, based on the method of Stewart [13], of the solutions to (1)-(2) for a modification of the classes (5) and (6) of coagulation and fragmentation kernels respectively. We obtain uniqueness for some kernels which are not covered by the existence result.

2 The Truncated Problem

We prove the existence of a solution to the problem (1)-(2) by taking the limit of the sequence of solutions of the equations given by replacing the kernel $K(x, y)$ and the selection function $S(x)$ by their respective 'cut-off' kernel $K_n(x, y)$ and $S_n(x)$ for any given $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$K_n(x,y) = \begin{cases} K(x,y) & \text{if} \quad x+y \leq n \;\text{ and }\; x,y \geq \sigma/n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \qquad S_n(x) = \begin{cases} S(x) & \text{if} \quad x \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Note that if we take $\sigma = 0$ our truncated problem will be defined as in Giri et al. [6]. For the defined kernels the resulting equations are written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u^n(x,t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)u^n(x-y,t)u^n(y,t)\,dy - \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,t)u^n(y,t)\,dy \\ &\quad + \int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,t)\,dy - S_n(x)u^n(x,t), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

with the truncated initial data

$$u_0^n(x) = \begin{cases} u_0(x) & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq x \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

where u^n denotes the solution of the problem (13)-(14) for $x \in [0, n]$. Next, we rewrite our truncated problem (13)-(14) in an equivalent form. We prove some lemmas, which are used to show the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the truncated problem.

2.1 Existence and uniqueness of solutions of the truncated problem

Let us define the operator P as

$$P(x,t,u^n) = \int_0^t \left[\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy + S_n(x) \right] d\tau,$$

which allows us to rewrite the truncated problem (13)-(14) in the equivalent form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[u^n(x,t)\exp\big(P(x,t,u^n)\big)\right]=\frac{1}{2}\exp\big(P(x,t,u^n)\big)\left[\int\limits_0^x K_n(x-y)u^n(x-y,t)u^n(y,t)\,dy\right.$$
$$\left.+\int\limits_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,t)dy\right] \tag{15}$$

with

$$u_0^n(x)=\begin{cases} u_0(x) & \text{if } \quad 0\le x\le n\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Now, we define the operator G as

$$G(c)(x,t)=\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c)-P(x,\tau,c)]\big)\left[\int\limits_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c(x-y,\tau)c(y,\tau)\,dy\right.$$
$$\left.+\int\limits_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)c(y,\tau)\,dy\right]d\tau+u_0^n(x)\exp\big(-P(x,t,c)\big), \tag{17}$$

for $c\in C\big([0,T];L^1(]0,n[)\big)$. By using (15) and (17), it can be easily checked that a solution u^n to (13)-(14) satisfies

$$u^n(x,t)=G(u^n)(x,t). \tag{18}$$

The problems (13)-(14) and (16)-(18) are equivalent. As a consequence, we prove the existence of solutions of the problem (16)-(18). With this aim, we use the contraction mapping principle in some interval $[0,T]$. But first, we introduce some necessary definitions. Let us set

$$M=\max\left\{\sup\left\{K_n(x,y):x,y\in[0,n]\right\},\sup\left\{S_n(x)b(x,y):x,y\in[0,n]\right\}\right\}, \tag{19}$$
$$L=(n^\sigma\sigma^{-\sigma}MT+1)\|u_0^n\|_Y,$$

and choose $t_1,t_2\ge 0$ such that

$$\exp\big(2n^{2\sigma}MLt_1\big)\big(1+2^{1-2\sigma}n^{6\sigma}\sigma^{-2\sigma}MLt_1+2Cn^\theta t_1\big)\le 2 \tag{20}$$
$$t_2\exp\big(2n^{2\sigma}MLt_2\big)\big[2^{1-2\sigma}n^{6\sigma}\sigma^{-2\sigma}ML(Lt_2+1)+Cn^\theta(2Lt_2+1)+n^{2\sigma}ML\big]<1. \tag{21}$$

We set

$$t_0=\min(t_1,t_2,T).$$

For those $c\in C([0,T];L^1(]0,n[))$ for which $\int\limits_0^1 x^{-2\sigma}|c(x,t)|dx$ is finite for all $t\in[0,t_0]$, we define the norm $\|\cdot\|_D$ by

$$\|c\|_D=\sup_{t\in[0,t_0]}\int\limits_0^n x^{-2\sigma}|c(x,t)|\,dx.$$

Now we set

$$D=\left\{c\in C([0,t_0];L^1(]0,n[)):\|c\|_D\le 2L\right\}.$$

Then, by defnition of P and the non-negativity of $S(x)$, for $c\in D$ we have

$$\exp\big(-[P(x,t,c)-P(x,\tau,c)]\big)=\exp\left(-\int\limits_\tau^t\left[\int\limits_0^{n-x}K_n(x,y)c(y,s)\,dy+S(x)\right]ds\right)$$
$$\le\exp\left(-\int\limits_\tau^t\int\limits_0^{n-x}K_n(x,y)c(y,s)\,dy\,ds\right)$$
$$\le\exp\big(n^{2\sigma}M\|c\|_Dt\big)\le\exp\big(2n^{2\sigma}MLt\big). \tag{22}$$

For the proof of Theorem 2.4 some lemmas are necessary which we present now.

Lemma 2.1 *The functional G maps the set D into itself.*

Proof. Choose c such that $\|c\|_D \leq 2L$. For $t \in [0, t_0]$, using (17), (22), the definition of M, and Fubini's Theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^n |G(c)(x,t)| x^{-2\sigma} dx \\
&= \int_0^n \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \exp\big(- [P(x,t,c) - P(x,\tau,c)]\big) \left[\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y) c(x-y,\tau) c(y,\tau)\, dy \right.\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\left. + \int_x^n S_n(y) b(x,y) c(y,\tau)\, dy \right] d\tau + u_0^n(x) \exp\big(- P(x,t,c)\big) \right| x^{-2\sigma} dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^n \exp\left(\int_\tau^t \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y) |c(y,s)| dy\, ds \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y) |c(x-y,\tau)| |c(y,\tau)|\, dy + \int_x^n S_n(y) b(x,y) |c(y,\tau)|\, dy \right] x^{-2\sigma} dx\, d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_0^n |u_0^n(x)| \exp\left(\int_0^t \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y) |c(y,s)| dy\, ds \right) x^{-2\sigma} dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big) \int_0^t \left[\int_0^n \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y) |c(x-y,\tau)| |c(y,\tau)| x^{-2\sigma} dy\, dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^n \int_x^n S_n(y) b(x,y) |c(y,\tau)| x^{-2\sigma}\, dy\, dx \right] d\tau + \|u_0^n\|_Y \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Changing the order of integration, then a change of variable $x - y = z$, and again re-changing the order of integration while replacing z by x gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^n |G(c)(x,t)| x^{-2\sigma} dx \\
&\leq \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big) \left[\|u_0^n\|_Y + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left[\int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y) |c(x,\tau)| |c(y,\tau)| (x+y)^{-2\sigma} dy\, dx \right.\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\left. + \int_0^n \int_0^y S_n(y) b(x,y) |c(y,\tau)| x^{-2\sigma}\, dx\, dy \right] d\tau \right]. \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the definition of K_n and S_n we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^n |G(c)(x,t)| x^{-2\sigma} dx \\
&\leq \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big) \left[\|u_0^n\|_Y + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left[\int_{\sigma/n}^{n-\sigma/n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x} K(x,y) |c(x,\tau)| |c(y,\tau)| (x+y)^{-2\sigma} dy\, dx\, d\tau \right.\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\left. + \int_0^n \int_0^y S(y) b(x,y) |c(y,\tau)| x^{-2\sigma} dx\, dy \right] d\tau \right]. \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we multiply and divide by $(xy)^{2\sigma}$ inside the first integral term on right hand side of (24). Taking in account the definition of M (19) and **H5)** in Hypotheses 1.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^n |G(c)(x,t)| x^{-2\sigma} dx \\
&\leq \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big) \Bigg[\|u_0^n\|_Y + 2^{-(1+2\sigma)} n^{6\sigma} \sigma^{-2\sigma} M \int_0^t \Bigg[\int_{\sigma/n}^{n-\sigma/n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x} |c(x,\tau)||c(y,\tau)|(xy)^{-2\sigma} dy\, dx\, d\tau \\
&\qquad\qquad + Cn^\theta \int_0^n |c(y,\tau)| y^{-2\sigma} dy \Bigg] d\tau \Bigg].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using that $c \in D$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^n |G(c)(x,t)| x^{-2\sigma} dx &\leq \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big) \Big(\|u_0^n\|_Y + 2^{-(1+2\sigma)} n^{6\sigma} \sigma^{-2\sigma} Mt \|c\|_D^2 + Cn^\theta t \|c\|_D \Big) \\
&\leq \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big) \big(1 + 2^{1-2\sigma} n^{6\sigma} \sigma^{-2\sigma} MLt + 2Cn^\theta t\big) L. \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by using (20) we find

$$\int_0^n |G(c)(x,t)|\, x^{-2\sigma} dx \leq 2L.$$

Hence, by definition of $\|\cdot\|_D$ we have $\|G\|_D \leq 2L$ and this completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 *Consider* $c_1, c_2 \in C([0,t_0]; L^1]0,n[)$ *and let* $B = \max\{\|c_1\|_D, \|c_2\|_D\}$, *i.e.* $B \leq 2L$ *as well as*

$$H(x,\tau,t) = \exp\big(- [P(x,t,c_1) - P(x,\tau,c_1)] \big) - \exp\big(- [P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)] \big).$$

Then, for $0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq t_0$ *and* $0 \leq x \leq n$ *we have*

$$|H(x,\tau,t)| \leq (t-\tau) n^{2\sigma} M \exp\big((t-\tau) n^{2\sigma} BM\big) \|c_1 - c_2\|_D. \qquad (26)$$

Proof: For any fixed $x \in [0,n]$, $t, \tau \in [0,t_0]$ we may assume c_1 to satisfy

$$P(x,t,c_1) - P(x,\tau,c_1) \geq P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|H(x,\tau,t)| &= -H(x,\tau,t) \\
&= \exp\big(- [P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)] \big) \\
&\quad \cdot \big[1 - \exp\big(- [P(x,t,c_1) - P(x,\tau,c_1) - [P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]]\big)\big]. \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - \exp\big(-x\big) \leq x$ for $x \geq 0$, (27), together with the definitions of B and M, and the non-negativity of $S_n(x)$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
|H(x,\tau,t)| &\leq \exp\big(- [P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big) [P(x,t,c_1) - P(x,\tau,c_1) - [P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]] \\
&= \exp\big(- [P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big) \int_\tau^t \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)[c_1(y,s) - c_2(y,s)] dy\, ds \\
&\leq \exp\left(- \int_\tau^t \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y) c_2(y,s)\, dy\, ds \right) (t-\tau) n^{2\sigma} M \|c_1 - c_1\|_D \\
&\leq (t-\tau) n^{2\sigma} M \exp\big((t-\tau) n^{2\sigma} BM\big) \|c_1 - c_2\|_D.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 2.3 *For* $c_1, c_2 \in D$ *and* t_0 *as above there exists* $\gamma \in [0,1[$ *such that*

$$\|G(c_1) - G(c_2)\|_D \leq \gamma \|c_1 - c_2\|_D,$$

i.e. the operator G *is a contraction.*

Proof. Choose $c_1, c_2 \in D$. Using the defintion of G we find that

$$\begin{aligned} G(c_1) - G(c_2) = {} & u_0^n(x)\left[\exp\big(-P(x,t,c_1)\big) - \exp\big(-P(x,t,c_2)\big)\right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_1) - P(x,\tau,c_1)]\big)\int\limits_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(x-y,\tau)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big)\int\limits_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_2(x-y,\tau)c_2(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau \\ & + \int\limits_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_1) - P(x,\tau,c_1)]\big)\int\limits_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau \\ & - \int\limits_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big)\int\limits_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)c_2(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

By addition and subtraction of the terms

$$\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big)\int\limits_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(x-y,\tau)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau$$

and

$$\int\limits_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big)\int\limits_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau,$$

together with the defintion of H in Lemma 2.2 we rewrite (28) as

$$\begin{aligned} G(c_1) - G(c_2) = {} & u_0^n(x)H(x,t,0) + \int\limits_0^t H(x,t,\tau)\left[\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(x-y,\tau)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\right. \\ & \left. + \int\limits_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\right]d\tau - \int\limits_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big) \\ & \cdot\left[\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(y,\tau)\left[c_2(x-y,\tau) - c_1(x-y,\tau)\right]dy\right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_2(x-y,\tau)\left[c_2(y,\tau) - c_1(y,\tau)\right]dy \\ & \left. + \int\limits_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)\left[c_2(y,\tau) - c_1(y,\tau)\right]dy\right]d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Now, making use of the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{x^{-2\sigma}}$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|G(c_1)-G(c_2)\|_{x^{-2\sigma}}\\
&=\int_0^n\Bigg|u_0^n(x)H(x,0,t)+\int_0^t H(x,\tau,t)\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(x-y,\tau)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)c_1(y,\tau)\,dy\right]d\tau-\int_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2)-P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big)\\
&\qquad\cdot\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_1(y,\tau)[c_2(x-y,\tau)-c_1(x-y,\tau)]dy\right.\\
&\qquad\quad+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c_2(x-y,\tau)[c_2(y,\tau)-c_1(y,\tau)]dy\\
&\qquad\quad\left.+\int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)[c_2(y,\tau)-c_1(y,\tau)]dy\right]d\tau\Bigg|x^{-2\sigma}dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the triangule inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|G(c_1)-G(c_2)\|_{x^{-2\sigma}}\\
&\leq\int_0^t |H(x,\tau,t)|\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^n\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)|c_1(x-y,\tau)||c_1(y,\tau)|x^{-2\sigma}dy\,dx\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\int_0^n\int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)|c_1(y,\tau)|x^{-2\sigma}dy\,dx\right]d\tau-\int_0^t \exp\big(-[P(x,t,c_2)-P(x,\tau,c_2)]\big)\\
&\qquad\cdot\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^n\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)|c_1(y,\tau)||c_2(x-y,\tau)-c_1(x-y,\tau)|x^{-2\sigma}dy\,dx\right.\\
&\qquad\quad+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^n\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)|c_2(x-y,\tau)||c_2(y,\tau)-c_1(y,\tau)|x^{-2\sigma}dy\,dx\\
&\qquad\quad\left.+\int_0^n\int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)|c_2(y,\tau)-c_1(y,\tau)|x^{-2\sigma}dy\,dx\right]d\tau+\int_0^n u_0^n(x)|H(x,0,t)|x^{-2\sigma}dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, changing the order of integration, then a change of variable $x - y = z$, then re-changing the order of integration while replacing z by x we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|G(c_1) - G(c_2)\|_{x^{-2\sigma}} \\
&\le \int_0^t |H(x,\tau,t)| \Bigg[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)|c_1(x,\tau)||c_1(y,\tau)|(x+y)^{-2\sigma} dy\, dx \\
&\qquad + \int_0^n \int_x^n S_n(y) b(x,y) |c_1(y,\tau)| x^{-2\sigma} dy\, dx \Bigg] d\tau - \int_0^t \exp\big(- [P(x,t,c_2) - P(x,\tau,c_2)] \big) \\
&\qquad \cdot \Bigg[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)|c_1(y,\tau)||c_2(x,\tau) - c_1(x,\tau)|(x+y)^{-2\sigma} dy\, dx \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)|c_2(x,\tau)||c_2(y,\tau) - c_1(y,\tau)|(x+y)^{-2\sigma} dy\, dx \\
&\qquad + \int_0^n \int_x^n S_n(y) b(x,y) |c_2(y,\tau) - c_1(y,\tau)| x^{-2\sigma} dy\, dx \Bigg] d\tau + \int_0^n u_0^n(x) |H(x,0,t)| x^{-2\sigma} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 2.2 it gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|G(c_1) - G(c_2)\|_{x^{-2\sigma}} \\
&\quad \le (t-\tau) n^{2\sigma} M \exp\big(2(t-\tau) n^{2\sigma} ML\big) \|c_1 - c_2\|_D \\
&\qquad \cdot \int_0^t \Bigg[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)|c_1(x,\tau)||c_1(y,\tau)|(x+y)^{-2\sigma} dy + \int_0^n \int_0^y S_n(y) b(x,y) |c_1(y,\tau)| x^{-2\sigma} dx\, dy \Bigg] d\tau \\
&\qquad + \exp\big(2tn^{2\sigma} ML\big) \int_0^t \Bigg[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)|c_2(x,\tau)||c_1(y,\tau) - c_2(y,\tau)|(x+y)^{-2\sigma} dy\, dx \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)|c_1(y,\tau)||c_1(x,\tau) - c_2(x,\tau)|(x+y)^{-2\sigma} dy\, dx \\
&\qquad\qquad + \int_0^n \int_0^y S_n(y) b(x,y) |c_1(y,\tau) - c_2(y,\tau)| x^{-2\sigma} dx\, dy \Bigg] d\tau \\
&\qquad + \|u_0^n\|_Y t n^{2\sigma} M \exp\big(2tn^{2\sigma} ML\big) \|c_1 - c_2\|_D.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $K_n(x,y) = 0$ for $x, y < \sigma/n$, the maximum value that the term $(x+y)^{-2\sigma}$ can have is $(n/2\sigma)^{2\sigma}$. Using this fact, **H5)**, the definition of M, and $c_1, c_2 \in D$ we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|G(c_1) - G(c_2)\|_{x^{-2\sigma}} \\
&\quad \le n^{2\sigma} Mt \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big) \|c_1 - c_2\|_D \Big(2^{-(1+2\sigma)} n^{6\sigma} \sigma^{-2\sigma} M \|c_1\|_D^2 + Cn^{\theta} \|c_1\|_D \Big) t \\
&\qquad + \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big) \Big(2^{-(1+2\sigma)} n^{6\sigma} \sigma^{-2\sigma} M (\|c_1\|_D + \|c_2\|_D) \|c_1 - c_2\|_D + Cn^{\theta} \|c_1 - c_2\|_D \Big) t \\
&\qquad + \|u_0^n(x)\|_Y n^{2\sigma} Mt \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big) \|c_1 - c_2\|_D \\
&\quad \le t \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big) \big[2^{1-2\sigma} n^{6\sigma} \sigma^{-2\sigma} ML^2 t + 2Cn^{\theta} Lt + 2^{1-2\sigma} n^{6\sigma} \sigma^{-2\sigma} ML + Cn^{\theta} + n^{2\sigma} ML \big] \|c_1 - c_2\|_D \\
&\quad = t \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big) \big[2^{1-2\sigma} n^{6\sigma} \sigma^{-2\sigma} ML(Lt+1) + Cn^{\theta}(2Lt+1) + n^{2\sigma} ML \big] \|c_1 - c_2\|_D,
\end{aligned}$$

from where we can conclude that

$$\|G(c_1) - G(c_2)\|_D \le \gamma \|c_1 - c_2\|_D,$$

where $\gamma = t \exp\big(2n^{2\sigma} MLt\big) \big[2^{1-2\sigma} n^{6\sigma} \sigma^{-2\sigma} ML(Lt+1) + Cn^{\theta}(2Lt+1) + n^{2\sigma} ML \big] < 1$, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

Theorem 2.4 *Suppose that **H1)-H5)** hold and* $u_0 \in Y^+$. *Then for each* $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ *the problem (13)-(16) has a unique solution* u^n *with* $u^n(x,t) \geq 0$ *for a.e.* $x \in [0,n]$ *and* $t \in [0, \infty[$. *Moreover, for all* $t \in [0, \infty[$

$$\int_0^n x u^n(x,t)\, dx = \int_0^n x u^n(x,0)\, dx. \tag{29}$$

Proof. From Lemmas 2.1, 2.3 and the Contraction Mapping Theorem, it follows that there exists a unique solution $u^n(x,t)$ to (16)-(18) in $[0, t_0]$. We proceed now to check that those solutions are non-negative. If we set

$$c_0 = u_0^n \quad \text{and} \quad c_i = G(c_{i-1}),$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we find that fixed point iteration gives

$$c_i \to u^n \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(]0, \infty[) \quad \text{as} \quad i \to \infty,$$

and u^n is constructed by positivity preserving iterations, using G given in (17).

Let us check now that the mass conservation property (29) holds. Multiplying (13) by x and integrating with respect to x on $[0,n]$ we have by (3) and changes of variables and order of integration as in (25)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n x u^n(x,t)\, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^n \int_0^x x K_n(x-y,y) u^n(x-y,t) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx - \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} x K_n(x,y) u^n(x,t) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx \\
&\quad + \int_0^n \int_x^n x b(x,y) S_n(y) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx - \int_0^n x S_n(x) u^n(x,t)\, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} (x+y) K_n(x,y) u^n(x,t) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx - \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} x K_n(x,y) u^n(x,t) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx \\
&\quad + \int_0^n \int_0^y x b(x,y) S_n(y) u^n(y,t)\, dx\, dy - \int_0^n x S_n(x) u^n(x,t)\, dx \\
&= \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} x K_n(x,y) u^n(x,t) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx - \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} x K_n(x,y) u^n(x,t) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx \\
&\quad + \int_0^n y S_n(y) u^n(y,t)\, dy - \int_0^n x S_n(x) u^n(x,t)\, dx = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

from where we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n x u^n(x,t)\, dx = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \int_0^n x u^n(x,t) dx = \int_0^n x u_0^n(x)\, dx.$$

Now we show that our solution for $t \in [0, t_0]$ extends to arbitrarily large times, changing variable as we did in (25) we proceed to obtain a uniform bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^n u^n(x,t)x^{-\sigma}\,dx \\
&= \int_0^t \Bigg[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^n \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)u^n(x-y,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx \\
&\qquad - \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx + \int_0^n \int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx \\
&\qquad - \int_0^n S_n(x)u^n(x,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dx \Bigg] d\tau + \int_0^n u_0^n(x)x^{-\sigma}dx \\
&= \int_0^t \Bigg[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)(x+y)^{-\sigma}dy\,dx \\
&\qquad - \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dy\,dx + \int_0^n \int_0^y S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dx\,dy \\
&\qquad - \int_0^n S_n(x)u^n(x,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dx \Bigg] d\tau + \int_0^n u_0^n(x)x^{-\sigma}dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Making use of the inequality (11) and the symmetry of $K(x,y)$ results in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^n u^n(x,t)x^{-\sigma}dx \quad &\le \quad \int_0^t \Bigg[\frac{1}{2^{2+\sigma}} \int_{\sigma/n}^{n-\sigma/n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x} K(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)(x^{-\sigma}+y^{-\sigma})\,dy\,dx \\
&\qquad -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma/n}^{n-\sigma/n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x} K(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)(x^{-\sigma}+y^{-\sigma})\,dy\,dx \\
&\qquad + \int_{\sigma/n}^{n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{y} S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dx\,dy \Bigg] d\tau + \int_0^n u_0^n(x)x^{-\sigma}dx \\
&\le \quad \int_0^t \int_{\sigma/n}^{n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{y} S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,\tau)x^{-\sigma}dx\,dy\,d\tau + \int_0^n u_0^n(x)x^{-\sigma}dx \\
&\le \quad Mn^{\sigma}\sigma^{-\sigma} \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_0^y u^n(y,\tau)\,dx\,dy\,d\tau + \|u_0^n\|_Y \\
&= \quad Mn^{\sigma}\sigma^{-\sigma} \int_0^t \int_0^n yu^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,d\tau + \|u_0^n\|_Y \\
&\le \quad (n^{\sigma}\sigma^{-\sigma}MT+1)\|u_0^n\|_Y = L. \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can extend the interval $[0,t_0]$ to $[0,\infty[$ to complete the proof of Theorem 2.4. By considering the operator

$$G_1(c)(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_0}^{t} \exp\big(-[P_1(x,t,c)-P_1(x,\tau,c)]\big)\left[\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c(x-y,\tau)c(y,\tau)\,dy \right.$$
$$\left. + \int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,t)\,dy\right] d\tau + u^n(x,t_0)\exp\big(P_1(x,t,c)\big),$$

with

$$P_1(x,t,c) = \int_{t_0}^{t}\left[\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)c(x,\tau)c(y,\tau)\,dx + S_n(x)\right] d\tau,$$

we can repeat the above argument to show, that there is a unique non-negative solution u^n on $[t_0,t_1]$ where $t_1 = 2t_0$. We can extend the unique solution to $[0,t_j] \quad j = 1,2,3,\ldots$, repeating this process by considering the operators

$$G_{j+1}(c)(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_j}^{t} \exp\big(-[P_{j+1}(x,t,c)-P_{j+1}(x,\tau,c)]\big)\left[\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)c(x-y,\tau)c(y,\tau)\,dy \right.$$
$$\left. + \int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,t)\,dy\right] d\tau + u^n(x,t_j)\exp\big(P_{j+1}(x,t,c)\big),$$

with

$$P_{j+1}(x,t,c) = \int_{t_j}^{t}\left[\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)c(x,\tau)c(y,\tau)\,dx + S_n(x)\right] d\tau.$$

In that way we extend the solution to all of $[0,\infty[$. The argument used to get (29) for $[0,t_0]$ shows that (29) holds for $[0,\infty[$ and thus we have completed the proof of Theorem 2.4 by the arbitrariness of n. ☐

2.2 Properties of the solutions of the truncated problem

Lemma 2.5 *Let u^n a solution of the truncated problem (13)-(14). Then for $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ and $n = 1,2,\ldots$ we obtain the inequality*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n u^n(x,t)x^{-\alpha}dx \le \int_0^n\int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,t)x^{-\alpha}dy\,dx.$$

Proof. Multiplying equation (13) by $x^{-\alpha}$ and integrating w.r.t x from 0 to n we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n u^n(x,t)x^{-\alpha}dx = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^n\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)u^n(x-y,t)u^n(y,t)x^{-\alpha}dy\,dx$$
$$-\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,t)u^n(y,t)x^{-\alpha}dy\,dx$$
$$+\int_0^n\int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,t)x^{-\alpha}dy\,dx - \int_0^n S_n(x)u^n(x,t)x^{-\alpha}dx.$$

Changing variables as we did in (25) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n u^n(x,t)x^{-\alpha}dx &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,t)u^n(y,t)(x+y)^{-\alpha}dy\,dx \\ &-\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,t)u^n(y,t)x^{-\alpha}dy\,dx \\ &+\int_0^n\int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,t)x^{-\alpha}dy\,dx - \int_0^n S_n(x)u^n(x,t)x^{-\alpha}\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

Now by using inequality (11) together with the definition and symmetry of $K_n(x,y)$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n u^n(x,t)x^{-\alpha}dx &\le \frac{1}{2}2^{-(\alpha+1)}\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,t)u^n(y,t)(x^{-\alpha}+y^{-\alpha})dy\,dx \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,t)u^n(y,t)(x^{-\alpha}+y^{-\alpha})dy\,dx \\ &+\int_0^n\int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,t)x^{-\alpha}dy\,dx - \int_0^n S_n(x)u^n(x,t)x^{-\alpha}dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(2^{-(\alpha+1)}-1\right)\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,t)u^n(y,t)(x^{-\alpha}+y^{-\alpha})dy\,dx \\ &+\int_0^n\int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,t)x^{-\alpha}dy\,dx - \int_0^n S_n(x)u^n(x,t)x^{-\alpha}dx.\end{aligned}$$

Now we can eliminate the negative terms and obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n u^n(x,t)x^{-\alpha}dx \le \int_0^n\int_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)u^n(y,t)x^{-\alpha}dy\,dx,$$

which complete the proof of the theorem. ☐

In the rest of the paper we consider for each u^n their zero extension on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e.

$$\hat{u}^n(x,t) = \begin{cases} u^n(x,t) & 0 \le x \le n, \ \ t \in [0,T], \\ 0 & x < 0 \ \text{ or } \ x > n. \end{cases}$$

For clarity we drop the notation $\hat{\cdot}$ for the remainder of the paper.

Lemma 2.6 *Assume that **H1)-H5)** hold. We take u^n to be the non-negative zero extension of the solution to the truncated problem found in Theorem 2.4. Fix $T > 0$ and let us define*

$$L(T) = \left(e^{NT}(N+1) + e^{CT}(C+1) + 1\right)\|u_0\|_Y.$$

Then the following are true:

i) *We have the bound*

$$\int_0^\infty (1+x+x^{-2\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx \le L(T) \quad \textit{for all} \quad t \in [0,T].$$

ii) *Given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $R > 1$ such that for all $t \in [0,T]$*

$$\sup_n \left\{ \int_R^\infty (1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx \right\} \le \epsilon.$$

iii) *Given* $\epsilon > 0$ *there exists a* $\delta > 0$ *such that for all* $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ *and* $t \in [0, T]$

$$\int_A (1 + x^{-\sigma}) u^n(x,t)\, dx < \epsilon \qquad \text{whenever} \qquad \mu(A) < \delta.$$

Proof. Property i) By Lemma 2.5 for $\alpha = 0$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n u^n(x,t)\, dx \le \int_0^n \int_x^n S_n(y) b(x,y) u^n(y,t)\, dy\, dx.$$

Changing the order of integration in the right hand side and making use of (4) and **H4)**, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n u^n(x,t)\, dx &\le \int_0^n S_n(y) u^n(y,t) \int_0^y b(x,y)\, dx\, dy \\ &= N\int_0^1 y^\theta u^n(y,t)\, dy + N\int_1^n y^\theta u^n(y,t)\, dy.\end{aligned}$$

As θ is considered to be in $[0,1[$, by using the mass conservation property (29) we have the estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n u^n(x,t)\, dx \le N\int_0^n u^n(y,t)\, dy + N\|u_0\|_Y.$$

Integrating respect to time it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^n u^n(x,t)\, dx &\le N\int_0^t \int_0^n u^n(y,\tau)\, dy\, d\tau + N\|u_0\|_Y - \int_0^n u_0^n(x)\, dx \\ &\le N\int_0^t \int_0^n u^n(y,\tau)\, dy\, d\tau + (N+1)\|u_0\|_Y,\end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain by the Gronwall's inequality, see e.g. Walter [14, page 361], for $P(t) = \int_0^n u^n(x,t)dx$

$$\int_0^n u^n(x) dx \le e^{Nt}(N+1)\|u_0\|_Y, \qquad t \in [0,T]. \tag{31}$$

Computing now the term with the weight $x^{-2\sigma}$ using Lemma 2.5 for $\alpha = 2\sigma$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n u^n(x,t) x^{-2\sigma} dx \le \int_0^n \int_x^n S(y) b(x,y) u^n(y,t) x^{-2\sigma} dy\, dx.$$

Changing the order of integration and using **H5)** we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^n u^n(x,t) x^{-2\sigma} dx &= \int_0^n \int_0^y S(y) b(x,y) u^n(y,t) x^{-2\sigma} dx\, dy \\ &\le C\int_0^n y^{\theta - 2\sigma} u^n(y,t)\, dy \\ &\le C\int_0^1 y^{-2\sigma} u^n(y,t)\, dy + C\int_1^n y u^n(y,t)\, dy \\ &\le C\int_0^n x^{-2\sigma} u^n(x,t)\, dx + C\|u_0\|_Y.\end{aligned}$$

From this inequality we find as above that

$$\int_0^n u^n(x,t)x^{-2\sigma}dx \le e^{Ct}(C+1)\|u_0\|_Y, \qquad t\in[0,T]. \tag{32}$$

Now, by the mass conservation property (29), by (31), and (32) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty (1+x+x^{-2\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx &= \int_0^n u^n(x,t)\,dx + \int_0^n xu^n(x,t)\,dx + \int_0^n x^{-2\sigma}u^n(x,t)\,dx\\ &\le e^{Nt}(N+1)\|u_0\|_Y + \|u_0\|_Y + e^{Ct}(C+1)\|u_0\|_Y\\ &\le \left(e^{NT}(N+1)+e^{CT}(C+1)+1\right)\|u_0\|_Y =: L(T).\end{aligned}$$

Property ii) Choose $\epsilon>0$ and let $R>1$ be such that $R>\frac{2\|u_0\|_Y}{\epsilon}$. Then using (29) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_R^\infty (1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx &= \int_R^\infty \frac{x}{x}u^n(x,t)\,dx + \int_R^\infty x^{-\sigma}\frac{x^{1+\sigma}}{x^{1+\sigma}}u^n(x,t)\,dx\\ &\le \frac{1}{R}\int_R^\infty xu^n(x,t)\,dx + \frac{1}{R^{1+\sigma}}\int_R^\infty xu^n(x,t)\,dx\\ &\le \left(\frac{1}{R}+\frac{1}{R^{1+\sigma}}\right)\int_R^\infty xu^n(x,t)\,dx\\ &\le \frac{2}{R}\int_0^n xu^n(x,t)\,dx\\ &\le \frac{2}{R}\|u_0^n\|_Y \le \frac{2}{R}\|u_0\|_Y < \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Property iii) By property (*ii*) we can choose $r>1$ such that for all n and $t\in[0,T]$

$$\int_r^\infty (1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \tag{33}$$

By using **H5)** and (4) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^y b(x,y)x^{-\sigma}dx &= \int_0^1 b(x,y)x^{-\sigma}dx + \int_1^y b(x,y)x^{-\sigma}dx\\ &\le \int_0^1 b(x,y)x^{-2\sigma}dx + \int_1^y b(x,y)dx\\ &\le \int_0^y b(x,y)x^{-2\sigma}dx + \int_0^y b(x,y)dx \le Cy^{-2\sigma}+N.\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

From this we get for $y\in[0,r]$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^y S(y)b(x,y)y^{2\sigma}x^{-\sigma}dx &\le \int_0^y y^\theta b(x,y)y^{2\sigma}x^{-\sigma}dx\\ &\le r^{\theta+2\sigma}\int_0^y b(x,y)x^{-\sigma}dx \le Cr^\theta + Nr^{\theta+2\sigma}.\end{aligned}$$

Let χ_A denote the characteristic function of a set A, i.e.

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad x\in A\\ 0 & \text{if} \quad x\notin A,\end{cases}$$

and set

$$\kappa(r) = \frac{1}{2} \max_{\substack{0 \le x \le r \\ 0 \le y \le r}} (1+y^{\sigma})(1+x)^{\lambda}(1+y)^{\lambda}. \tag{35}$$

Then, by the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral there exists a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \le z \le r \\ 0 \le y \le r}} \int_0^y \chi_A(x+z) S(y) b(x,y) y^{2\sigma} x^{-\sigma} dx \le \frac{\epsilon}{8L(T)T \exp\big(\kappa(r)L(T)T\big)}, \tag{36}$$

whenever $A \subset]0,\infty[$ with $\mu(A) \le \delta_1$. Also by (4) and the abolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral there exists a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{0 \le z \le r} \int_0^y \chi_{A \cap [0,r]}(x+z) b(x,y)\, dx \le \frac{\epsilon}{8L(T)T \exp\big(\kappa(r)L(T)T\big)}, \tag{37}$$

for $\mu(A) \le \delta_2$. Now, $\|u_0\|_Y \le L$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty (1+x^{-\sigma}) u_0(x)\, dx \quad &\le \quad \int_0^\infty u_0(x)\, dx + \int_0^1 x^{-\sigma} u_0(x) dx + \int_1^\infty x^{-\sigma} u_0(x)\, dx \\
&\le \quad \int_0^\infty u_0(x)\, dx + \int_0^1 x^{-2\sigma} u_0(x) dx + \int_1^\infty u_0(x)\, dx \\
&\le \quad 2\|u_0\|_Y \le 2L.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $t \in [0,T]$

$$f^n(A,t) = \sup_{0 \le z \le r} \int_0^\infty \chi_{A \cap [0,r]}(x+z)(1+x^{-\sigma}) u^n(x,t)\, dx.$$

By the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral there exists a $\delta_3 > 0$ such that

$$f^n(A,0) = \sup_{0 \le z \le r} \int_0^\infty \chi_{A \cap [0,r]}(x+z)(1+x^{-\sigma}) u_0^n(x)\, dx < \frac{\epsilon}{4 \exp\big(k(r)L(T)T\big)}, \tag{38}$$

for $\mu(A) \le \delta_3$. Now we take $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$ and multiply (13) by $(1+x^{-\sigma})\chi_{A \cap [0,r]}(x+z)$ for $z \in [0,r]$. This we integrate from 0 to t w.r.t. s and over $[0,\infty[$ w.r.t. x. Using the non-negativity of each u^n and $\mu(A) \le \delta$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \chi_{A \cap [0,r]}(x+z)(1+x^{-\sigma}) u^n(x,t)\, dx \\
&\le \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \chi_{A \cap [0,r]}(x+z) \chi_{[0,x] \cap [0,r]}(y)(1+x^{-\sigma}) K_n(x-y,y) u^n(x-y,s) u^n(y,s)\, dy\, dx\, ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \chi_{A \cap [0,r]}(x+z) \int_x^n S_n(y) b(x,y)(1+x^{-\sigma}) u^n(y,s)\, dy\, dx\, ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^\infty \chi_{A \cap [0,r]}(x+z)(1+x^{-\sigma}) u_0^n(x)\, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By changing variable as we did in (25) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z)(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)\,dx\\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_0^\infty\int\limits_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+y+z)\chi_{[0,x+y]\cap[0,r]}(y)[1+(x+y)^{-\sigma}]K_n(x,y)u^n(x,s)u^n(y,s)\,dy\,dx\,ds\\
&+\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z)\int\limits_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(y,s)\,dy\,dx\,ds+f^n(A,0)\\
&=I_{21}+I_{22}+f^n(A,0).
\end{aligned}
\tag{39}
$$

Now, we estimate the integral terms I_{21} and I_{22} in (39). Working with I_{21}, by using the estimation of $K(x,y)$, then taking $1+(x+y)^{-\sigma}\leq 1+y^{-\sigma}$ and $x^{-\sigma}\leq 1+x^{-\sigma}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{21}&\leq \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_0^\infty\int\limits_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+y+z)\chi_{[0,x+y]\cap[0,r]}(y)\left[1+(x+y)^{-\sigma}\right](1+x)^\lambda(1+y)^\lambda(xy)^{-\sigma}\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot u^n(x,s)u^n(y,s)\,dx\,dy\,ds\\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_0^\infty\int\limits_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+y+z)\chi_{[0,x+y]\cap[0,r]}(y)(1+y^{-\sigma})(1+x)^\lambda(1+y)^\lambda(1+x^{-\sigma})y^{-\sigma}\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot u^n(x,s)u^n(y,s)\,dx\,dy\,ds\\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_0^\infty\int\limits_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+y+z)\chi_{[0,x+y]\cap[0,r]}(y)(1+y^{\sigma})(1+x)^\lambda(1+y)^\lambda(1+x^{-\sigma})y^{-2\sigma}\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot u^n(x,s)u^n(y,s)\,dx\,dy\,ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the definition (35) of $\kappa(r)$ and property (i) we obtain the following estimation for I_{21}

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{21}&\leq k(r)\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_0^r u^n(y,s)y^{-2\sigma}\int\limits_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+y+z)(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,s)\,dx\,dy\,ds\\
&\leq k(r)\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_0^r u^n(y,s)y^{-2\sigma}\sup_{0\leq\omega\leq r}\int\limits_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+\omega)(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,s)\,dx\,dy\,ds\\
&\leq k(r)L(T)\int\limits_0^t f^n(A,s)\,ds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{40}
$$

Working now with the integral term I_{22}, by changing the order of integration we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{22}&=\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_0^r \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z)\int\limits_x^n S_n(y)b(x,y)(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(y,s)\,dy\,dx\,ds\\
&=\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_0^r\int\limits_0^y \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z)S_n(y)b(x,y)(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(y,s)\,dx\,dy\,ds\\
&\qquad+\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_r^n\int\limits_0^r \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z)S_n(y)b(x,y)(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(y,s)\,dx\,dy\,ds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{41}
$$

As $r \leq y$ in the second integral term of (41) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{22} \leq{} & \int_0^t \int_0^r \int_0^y \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z) S_n(y) b(x,y)(1+x^{-\sigma}) u^n(y,s)\, dx\, dy\, ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_r^n \int_0^y \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z) S_n(y) b(x,y)(1+x^{-\sigma}) u^n(y,s)\, dx\, dy\, ds \\
={} & \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_0^y \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z) S_n(y) b(x,y)(1+x^{-\sigma}) u^n(y,s)\, dx\, dy\, ds \\
={} & \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_0^y \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z) S_n(y) b(x,y) u^n(y,s)\, dx\, dy\, ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_0^y \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z) S_n(y) b(x,y) x^{-\sigma} u^n(y,s)\, dx\, dy\, ds. \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

By using (37) and property (i), we estimate the first integral term on the right hand side of (42) by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_0^y \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z) b(x,y) S_n(y) u^n(y,s)\, dx\, dy\, ds \\
& \leq \int_0^t \int_0^n S_n(y) u^n(y,s) \sup_{0\leq z\leq r} \int_0^y \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z) b(x,y)\, dx\, dy\, ds \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{8L(T)T\exp\big(\kappa(r)L(T)T\big)} \int_0^t \left[\int_0^1 y^\theta u^n(y,s)\, dy + \int_1^n y^\theta u^n(y,s)\, dy \right] ds \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{8L(T)T\exp\big(\kappa(r)L(T)T\big)} \int_0^t \left[\int_0^1 u^n(y,s)\, dy + \int_1^n y u^n(y,s)\, dy \right] ds \leq \frac{\epsilon}{8\exp\big(\kappa(r)L(T)T\big)}. \qquad (43)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using (36) and (i) we also estimate the second integral term on the right hand side of (42) by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_0^y \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z) S_n(y) b(x,y) x^{-\sigma} u^n(y,s)\, dx\, dy\, ds \\
& \leq \int_0^t \int_0^n u^n(y,s) y^{-2\sigma} \sup_{\substack{0\leq z\leq r\\ 0\leq y\leq r}} \int_0^y \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z) S_n(y) b(x,y) x^{-\sigma} y^{2\sigma} dx\, dy\, ds \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{8L(T)T\exp\big(\kappa(r)L(T)T\big)} \int_0^t \int_0^n u^n(y,s) y^{-2\sigma} dy\, ds \leq \frac{\epsilon}{8\exp\big(\kappa(r)L(T)T\big)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This brings together with the estimation (43) of the first integral term of (42)

$$I_{22} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4\exp\big(\kappa(r)L(T)T\big)}. \qquad (44)$$

Now we insert into (39) the estimates (40), (44), and (38) to arrive at

$$\int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(x+z)(1+x^{-\sigma}) u^n(x,t)\, dx \leq k(r)L(T) \int_0^t f^n(A,s)\, ds + \frac{\epsilon}{2\exp\big(k(r)L(T)T\big)}.$$

Since the right hand side is independent of z we may take $\sup_{0\leq z\leq r}$ on the left hand side to obtain

$$f^n(A,t) \leq k(r)L(T) \int_0^t f^n(A,s)\, ds + \frac{\epsilon}{2\exp\big(k(r)L(T)T\big)}.$$

By using Gronwall's inequality see e.g. Walter [14, page 361], we get

$$f^n(A,t) \leq \epsilon \exp\big(k(r)L(T)T\big) / \big(2\exp\big(k(r)L(T)T\big)\big) = \epsilon/2. \tag{45}$$

Thus, by (33) and (45) for every $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $t \in [0,T]$

$$\int_A (1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)dx = \int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[0,r]}(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)dx + \int_0^\infty \chi_{A\cap[r,\infty]}(1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)dx$$
$$\leq f^n(A,t) + \int_r^\infty (1+x^{-\sigma})u^n(x,t)dx \leq \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$$

whenever $\mu(A) < \delta$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6. □

Let us define $v^n(x,t) = x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,t)$. Due to the Lemma 2.6 above and the Dunford-Pettis Theorem [3, page 274], we can conclude that for each $t \in [0,T]$ the sequences $\big(u^n(t)\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\big(v^n(t)\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are weakly relatively compact in $L^1\,(]0,\infty[)$.

2.3 Equicontinuity in time

Lemma 2.7 *Assume that Hypotheses* 1.1 *hold. Take* $\big(u^n\big)$ *now to be the sequence of extended solutions to the truncated problems (13)-(16) found in Theorem 2.4 and* $v^n(x,t) = x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,t)$. *Then there exists a subsequences* $\big(u^{n_k}(t)\big)$ *and* $\big(v^{n_l}(t)\big)$ *of* $\big(u^n(t)\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *and* $\big(v^n(t)\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *respectively such that*

$$u^{n_k}(t) \rightharpoonup u(t) \quad in \quad L^1(]0,\infty[) \quad as \quad n_k \to \infty$$
$$v^{n_l}(t) \rightharpoonup v(t) \quad in \quad L^1(]0,\infty[) \quad as \quad n_l \to \infty$$

uniformly for $t \in [0,T]$. *This convergence is uniform for all* $t \in [0;T]$ *giving* $u, v \in C_B([0,T]; L^1(]0,\infty[)) = \big\{\eta : [0,\infty[\to L^1(]0,\infty[), \eta \text{ continuous and } \eta(t) \text{ bounded for all } t \geq 0\big\}$.

Proof: Choose $\epsilon > 0$ and $\phi \in L^\infty(]0,\infty[)$. Let $s, t \in [0,T]$ and assume that $t \geq s$. Choose $a > 1$ such that

$$\frac{2L(T)}{a}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)} \leq \epsilon/2. \tag{46}$$

Using Lemma 2.6(i), for each n, we have

$$\int_a^\infty |u^n(x,t) - u^n(x,s)|\,dx \leq \frac{1}{a}\int_a^\infty x\,|u^n(x,t) + u^n(x,s)|\,dx \leq 2L(T)/a. \tag{47}$$

By multiplying (13) by ϕ and integrating w.r.t. x from 0 to a as well as from a to ∞, w.r.t. τ form s to t and using (46), (47) and $t \geq s$ we get

$$\left|\int_0^\infty \phi(x)\,[u^n(x,t) - u^n(x,s)]\,dx\right|$$
$$\leq \left|\int_0^a \phi(x)\,[u^n(x,t) - u^n(x,s)]\,dx\right| + \left|\int_a^\infty \phi(x)\,[u^n(x,t) - u^n(x,s)]\,dx\right|$$
$$\leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)} \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^a\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)u^n(x-y,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx + \int_0^a\int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\right.$$
$$\left. + \int_0^a\int_x^n b(x,y)S_n(y)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx + \int_0^a S_n(x)u^n(x,\tau)\,dx\right] d\tau + \epsilon/2.$$

Changing variables as we did in (25) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\infty \phi(x)\,[u^n(x,t)-u^n(x,s)]\,dx\right|\\
&=\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\int_s^t\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^a\int_0^{a-x}K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx+\int_0^a\int_0^{n-x}K_n(x,y)u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\int_0^a\int_x^n b(x,y)S_n(y)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx+\int_0^a S_n(x)u^n(x,\tau)\,dx\right]d\tau+\epsilon/2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definition (12) of $K_n(x,y)$ and $S_n(y)$ and the estimation of $K(x,y)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\infty \phi(x)\,[u^n(x,t)-u^n(x,s)]\,dx\right|\\
&\le\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\int_s^t\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n}\int_{\sigma/n}^{a-x}(1+x)^\lambda(1+y)^\lambda(xy)^{-\sigma}u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\right.\\
&\qquad+\int_{\sigma/n}^{a}\int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x}(1+x)^\lambda(1+y)^\lambda(xy)^{-\sigma}u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\\
&\qquad\left.+\int_{\sigma/n}^{a}\int_{x}^{n}b(x,y)S(y)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx+\int_{\sigma/n}^{a}x^\theta u^n(x,\tau)\,dx\right]d\tau+\epsilon/2\\
&=\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)}\int_s^t(I_{31}(\tau)+I_{32}(\tau)+I_{33}(\tau)+I_{34}(\tau))\,d\tau+\epsilon/2.
\end{aligned}\tag{48}$$

Now, we estimate the terms $I_{31}(\tau)$, $I_{32}(\tau)$, $I_{33}(\tau)$, and $I_{34}(\tau)$ in (48). By using Lemma 2.6(i) the first term can be estimated by

$$I_{31}(\tau)\le\frac{1}{2}(1+a)^{2\lambda}\int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n}\int_{\sigma/n}^{a-x}(xy)^{-\sigma}u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\le\frac{1}{2}(1+a)^{2\lambda}L(T)^2.\tag{49}$$

In order to estimate the second term, we define

$$C_1=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if}\quad 0\le\lambda\le1\\ 2^{\lambda-1} & \text{if}\quad \lambda\ge1.\end{cases}\tag{50}$$

Then, by using inequalities (9) and (10) for $p=\lambda$ and Lemma 2.6 (i) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{32}(\tau)&\le C_1\int_{\sigma/n}^{a}\int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x}(1+x^\lambda)(1+y^\lambda)(xy)^{-\sigma}u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\\
&\le C_1(1+a^\lambda)\int_{\sigma/n}^{a}\int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x}(1+y^\lambda)(xy)^{-\sigma}u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\\
&\le C_1(1+a^\lambda)\int_{\sigma/n}^{a}\int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x}(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,\tau)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\le 2C_1(1+a^\lambda)L(T)^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{51}$$

We also find by using **H4)**, Lemma 2.6 (i), and (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{33}(\tau) &= \int_{\sigma/n}^{a} \int_{x}^{n} b(x,y)S(y)u^n(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx \\ &= \int_{\sigma/n}^{a} \int_{\sigma/n}^{y} b(x,y)y^{\theta}u^n(y,\tau)\,dx\,dy + \int_{a}^{n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{a} b(x,y)y^{\theta}u^n(y,\tau)\,dx\,dy \\ &\leq N \int_{\sigma/n}^{n} y^{\theta}u^n(y,\tau)\,dy \leq NL(T). \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Now, by using Lemma 2.6(i) we have

$$I_{34}(\tau) = \int_{\sigma/n}^{a} x^{\theta}u^n(x,\tau)\,dx \leq L(T), \tag{53}$$

which together with (49)-(52) brings (48) to

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(x)\left[u^n(x,t) - u^n(x,s)\right] dx \right| \\ &\leq \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+a)^{2\lambda} + 2C_1\left(1+a^{\lambda}\right) \right) L(T)^2 + (N+1)L(T) \right] (t-s)\|\phi\|_{L^\infty} + \epsilon/2 < \epsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

whenever $(t-s) < \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. The argument given above similarly holds for $s < t$. Hence (54) holds for all n and $|t-s| < \delta$. Then the sequence $\left(u^n(t)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is time equicontinuous in $L^1(]0,\infty[)$. Thus, $\left(u^n(t)\right)$ lies in a relatively compact subset of a gauge space Ω_1. The gauge space Ω_1 is $L^1(]0,\infty[)$ equipped with the weak topology. For details about the gauge space, see Ash [1, page 226]. Then, we may apply a version of the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, see Ash [1, page 228], to conclude that there exists a subsequence $\left(u^{n_k}\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$u^{n_k}(t) \to u(t) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_1 \quad \text{as} \quad n_k \to \infty,$$

uniformly for $t \in [0,T]$ for some $u \in C([0,T]; L^1(]0,\infty[))$.

Now let us consider $v^n(x,t) = x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,t)$ where we have to deal with a stronger singularity at 0. We take $\epsilon > 0$, ϕ, s and t as they were defined before. Using Lemma 2.6, for each n, we get using $a > 1$ chosen to satisfy (46)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a}^{\infty} |v^n(x,t) - v^n(x,s)|\,dx &= \int_{a}^{\infty} \left|x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,t) - x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,s)\right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \int_{a}^{\infty} x^{1-\sigma}\left|u^n(x,t) + u^n(x,s)\right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \int_{a}^{\infty} x\left|u^n(x,t) + u^n(x,s)\right| dx \leq 2L(T)/a. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

By using (13), (46), (55), for $t \geq s$ and the definition of $v^n(x)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^\infty \phi(x) \left[v^n(x,t) - v^n(x,s) \right] dx \right| \\
&\leq \int_0^a |\phi(x)| \left[v^n(x,t) + v^n(x,s) \right] dx + \epsilon/2 \\
&\leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)} \int_s^t \Bigg[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y) u^n(x-y,\tau) u^n(y,\tau) x^{-\sigma} dy\, dx \\
&\qquad + \int_0^a \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y) u^n(x,\tau) u^n(y,\tau) x^{-\sigma} dy\, dx \\
&\qquad + \int_0^a \int_x^n b(x,y) S_n(y) u^n(y,\tau)\, dy\, dx + \int_0^a S_n(x) u^n(x,\tau)\, dx \Bigg] d\tau + \epsilon/2 \\
&= \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,\infty[)} \int_s^t \left(I_{41}(\tau) + I_{42}(\tau) + I_{43}(\tau) + I_{44}(\tau) \right) d\tau + \epsilon/2.
\end{aligned}$$

A change of variables in the first integral gives

$$I_{41}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \int_0^{a-x} K_n(x,y) u^n(x,\tau) u^n(y,\tau) (x+y)^{-\sigma} dy\, dx$$

Taking $y = 0$ in the term $(x+y)^{-\sigma}$ we find that

$$I_{41}(\tau) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \int_0^{a-x} K_n(x,y) u^n(x,\tau) u^n(y,\tau) x^{-\sigma} dy\, dx \tag{56}$$

Working in a similar way as we did in (49) and (51) we find the estimations

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{41}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{a-x} (1+x)^\lambda (1+y)^\lambda x^{-2\sigma} y^{-\sigma} u^n(x,\tau) u^n(x,\tau)\, dy\, dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}(1+a)^{2\lambda} \int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{a-x} x^{-2\sigma} y^{-\sigma} u^n(x,\tau) u^n(x,\tau)\, dy\, dx \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+a)^{2\lambda} L(T)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{42}(\tau) &\leq C_1 \int_{\sigma/n}^{a} \int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x} (1+x^\lambda)(1+y^\lambda) x^{-2\sigma} y^{-\sigma} u^n(x,\tau) u^n(y,\tau)\, dy\, dx \\
&\leq C_1 (1+a^\lambda) \int_{\sigma/n}^{a} \int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x} (y^{-\sigma} + y^{\lambda-\sigma}) x^{-2\sigma} u^n(x,\tau) u^n(y,\tau)\, dy\, dx \leq 2C_1(1+a^\lambda) L(T)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Now changing the order of integration in $I_{43}(\tau)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{43}(\tau) &= \int_{\sigma/n}^{a} \int_x^n b(x,y) S(y) u^n(y,\tau) x^{-\sigma} dy\, dx \\
&= \int_{\sigma/n}^{a} \int_{\sigma/n}^{y} b(x,y) y^\theta u^n(y,\tau) x^{-\sigma} dx\, dy + \int_a^n \int_{\sigma/n}^{a} b(x,y) y^\theta u^n(y,\tau) x^{-\sigma} dx\, dy.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (34) and **H4)** we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{43}(\tau) &\le \int_{\sigma/n}^{a} (Cy^{-2\sigma}+N)y^{\theta}u^n(y,\tau)\,dy + \int_{a}^{n} (Cy^{-2\sigma}+N)y^{\theta}u^n(y,\tau)\,dy \\
&= \int_{\sigma/n}^{n} (Cy^{-2\sigma}+N)y^{\theta}u^n(y,\tau)\,dy \\
&= C\int_{\sigma/n}^{n} y^{\theta-2\sigma}u^n(y,\tau)\,dy + N\int_{\sigma/n}^{n} y^{\theta}u^n(y,\tau)\,dy \le (C+N)L(T). \qquad (59)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using **H4)** and Lemma 2.6 (i) we obtain

$$
I_{44}(\tau) = \int_{\sigma/n}^{a} x^{\theta-\sigma}u^n(x,\tau)dx \le L(T) \qquad (60)
$$

which together with (56)-(59) gives the estimation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(x)\,[v^n(x,t)-v^n(x,s)]\,dx\right| \\
&\le \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+a)^{2\lambda}+2C_1\left(1+a^{\lambda}\right)\right)L(T)^2+(C+N+1)L(T)\right](t-s)\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(]0,\infty[)}+\epsilon/2.
\end{aligned}
$$

We can use now the same argument used for u^n to conclude that there exists a subsequence $\left(v^{n_k}\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
v^{n_k}(t)\to v(t) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \quad \text{as} \quad n_k\to\infty,
$$

uniformly for $t\in[0,T]$ for some $v\in C([0,T];L^1(]0,\infty[))$.

Since $T>0$ is arbitrary we obtain $u,v\in C_B([0,\infty[;L^1(]0,\infty[))$. ☐

Lemma 2.8 *For $v^n(\cdot,t)$ defined as before, we have*

$$
v^n(\cdot,t)\rightharpoonup v(\cdot,t) \quad \textit{where} \quad v(x,t)=x^{-\sigma}u(x,t) \quad \textit{for all} \quad t\in[0,T] \quad \textit{in} \quad L^1(]0,a]).
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, we know that $v^n(t)\rightharpoonup v(t)$ in $L^1(]0,\infty[)$ as $n\to\infty$ uniformly for $t\in[0,T]$. Then, we just need to prove that $v(x,t)=x^{-\sigma}u(x,t)$

By definition of weak convergence we have

$$
\int_{0}^{a}\varphi(x)\,[v^n(x,t)-v(x,t)]\,dx\to 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \varphi\in L^{\infty}(]0,a]).
$$

As $x^{\sigma}\in L^{\infty}(]0,a])$ we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{a}\varphi(x)\,[x^{\sigma}v^n(x,t)-x^{\sigma}v(x,t)]\,dx=\int_{0}^{a}\varphi(x)\,[u^n(x,t)-x^{\sigma}v(x,t)]\,dx\to 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \varphi\in L^{\infty}(]0,a]).
$$

Since $u^n\rightharpoonup u$ we have due to the uniqueness of the limit of weak convergence, $v(x,t)=x^{-\sigma}u(x,t)$. ☐

3 Existence Theorem

3.1 Convergence of the integrals

In order to show that the limit function which we obtained above is indeed a solution to (1)-(2), we define the operators M_i^n, M_i, $i=1,2,3,4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_1^n(u^n)(x) &= \tfrac{1}{2}\int_0^x K_n(x-y,y)u^n(x-y)u^n(y)\,dy & M_1(u)(x) &= \tfrac{1}{2}\int_0^x K(x-y,y)u(x-y)u(y)\,dy \\
M_2^n(u^n)(x) &= \int_0^{n-x} K_n(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy & M_2(u)(x) &= \int_0^{\infty} K(x,y)u(x)u(y)\,dy \\
M_3^n(u^n)(x) &= \int_x^{n} b(x,y)S_n(y)u^n(y)\,dy & M_3(u)(x) &= \int_x^{\infty} b(x,y)S(y)u(y)\,dy \\
M_4^n(u^n)(x) &= S_n(x)u^n(x) & M_4(u)(x) &= S(x)u(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u \in L^1(]0,\infty[)$, $x \in]0,\infty[$ and $n = 1,2,\ldots$. Set $M^n = M_1^n - M_2^n + M_3^n - M_4^n$ and $M = M_1 - M_2 + M_3 - M_4$.

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose that* $\left(u^n\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset Y^+$, $u \in Y^+$ *where* $\|u^n\|_Y \le L$, $\|u\|_Y \le Q$, $u^n \rightharpoonup u$ *and* $v^n \rightharpoonup v$ *in* $L^1(]0,\infty[)$ *as* $n \to \infty$, *for* $v = x^{-\sigma}u$ *and* $v^n = x^{-\sigma}u^n$. *Then for each* $a > 0$

$$M^n(u^n) \rightharpoonup M(u) \quad in \quad L^1(]0,a[) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

Proof: Choose $a > 0$ and let $\phi \in L^\infty(]0,\infty[)$. We show that $M_i^n(u^n) \rightharpoonup M_i(u)$ in $L^1(]0,a[)$ as $n \to \infty$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$.

Case $i = 1$: For $u \in Y^+$ and $x \in [0,a]$ we define the operator g by

$$g(v)(x) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{a-x} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)(xy)^\sigma v(y)\,dy \quad \text{where} \quad v = x^{-\sigma}u.$$

For *a.e.* $x \in [0,a]$ the function defined by

$$\varphi_x(y) := \frac{1}{2}\chi_{[0,a-x]}(y)\phi(x+y)K(x,y)(xy)^\sigma \le \frac{1}{2}\chi_{[0,a-x]}(y)\phi(x+y)(1+x)^\lambda(1+y)^\lambda,$$

where χ denotes the characteristic function and the estimate is due to **H3)**, is in $L^\infty(]0,\infty[)$. Since $v^n \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^1(]0,\infty[)$, it follows that

$$g(v^n)(x) \to g(v)(x) \quad \text{for a.e.} \quad x \in [0,a]. \tag{61}$$

Also, as $\|u^n\|_Y \le L$ implies $\int_0^\infty v^n(x)\,dx < L$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g(v^n)(x)| &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{a-x} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)(xy)^\sigma v^n(y)\,dy \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{a-x} \phi(x+y)(1+x)^\lambda(1+y)^\lambda v^n(y)\,dy \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}(1+a)^{2\lambda}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}L \quad \text{for a.e.} \quad x \in [0,a]. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

This holds analogously for $g(v)$. Thus, both, $g(v^n)$ and $g(v)$ are in $L^\infty([0,a])$ with bound

$$\|g(v^n)\|_{L^\infty([0,a])} + \|g(v)\|_{L^\infty([0,a])} \le \frac{1}{2}(1+a)^{2\alpha}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}(L+Q). \tag{63}$$

It follows by (61) and Egoroff's Theorem [1, page 94] that

$$g(v^n) \to g(v) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad \text{almost uniformly in} \quad [0,a]. \tag{64}$$

Remember that almost uniform convergence means that for any given δ there exists a set $E \subseteq [0,a]$ such that $\mu(E) < \delta$ and $g(v^n) \to g(v)$ uniformly on $[0,a] \setminus E$ as $n \to \infty$.

By Lemma 2.6(*iii*), since $v^n \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^1(]0,\infty[)$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for all n

$$\int_E v^n(x)\,dx < \epsilon/\left[(1+a)^{2\alpha}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}(L+Q)\right] \quad \text{whenever} \quad \mu(E) < \delta. \tag{65}$$

By the Hölder inequality we obtain using (63) and (65)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_0^a [g(v^n)(x) - g(v)(x)]\,v^n(x)\,dx\right| \\ &\le \left|\int_{[0,a]\setminus E} [g(v^n)(x) - g(v)(x)]\,v^n(x)\,dx\right| + \left|\int_E [g(v^n)(x) - g(v)(x)]\,v^n(x)\,dx\right| \\ &\le \|g(v^n) - g(v)\|_{L^\infty([0,a]\setminus E)}\int_{[0,a]\setminus E} v^n(x)\,dx + \left[\|g(v^n)\|_{L^\infty(E)} + \|g(v)\|_{L^\infty(E)}\right]\int_E v^n(x)\,dx \\ &\le \|g(v^n) - g(v)\|_{L^\infty([0,a]\setminus E)}\int_{[0,a]\setminus E} v^n(x)\,dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \le \epsilon \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrarily chosen the almost uniform convergence of $g(v^n)$ leads to

$$\left| \int_0^a \left[g(v^n)(x) - g(v)(x) \right] v^n(x)\, dx \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{66}$$

Also, since $g(v) \in L^\infty([0,a])$ is bounded independently of n by (62) and $v^n \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^1(]0,\infty[)$ as $n \to \infty$

$$\left| \int_0^a g(v)(x) \left[v^n(x) - v(x) \right] dx \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{67}$$

Now, since $g(v^n) \in L^\infty([0,a])$ and $v^n \in L^1([0,\infty[)$, by the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral, we have

$$\left| \int_{a-\sigma/n}^{a} g(v^n)(x) v^n(x)\, dx \right| \le \|g(v^n)\|_{L^\infty([0,a])} \left| \int_{a-\sigma/n}^{a} v^n(x)\, dx \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

In the same way we get

$$\left| \int_0^{\sigma/n} g(v^n)(x) v^n(x)\, dx \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \tag{68}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n} \int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x+y) K(x,y) u(x) u(y)\, dy\, dx \right| \\
&\le \left| \int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n} \int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x+y) (1+x)^\lambda (1+y)^\lambda v(x) v(y)\, dy\, dx \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Now, we show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^a \phi(x) M_1^n(u^n)(x)\, dx \\
&= \int_0^a \phi(x) \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x K_n(x-y,y) u^n(x-y) u^n(y)\, dy\, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \int_0^{a-x} \phi(x+y) K_n(x,y) u^n(x) u^n(y)\, dy\, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{a-x} \phi(x+y) K(x,y) u^n(x) u^n(y)\, dy\, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n} \int_0^{a-x} \phi(x+y) K(x,y) u^n(x) u^n(y)\, dy\, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n} \int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x+y) K(x,y) u^n(x) u^n(y)\, dy\, dx \\
&= \int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n} g(v^n)(x) v^n(x)\, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n} \int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x+y) K(x,y) u^n(x) u^n(y)\, dy\, dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

In a similar way we also find that

$$\int_0^a \phi(x) M_1(u)(x)\, dx = \int_0^a g(v)(x) x^{-\sigma} u(x)\, dx = \int_0^a g(v)(x) v(x)\, dx. \tag{71}$$

Now, it follows from (70) and (71) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^a \phi(x)\left[M_1^n(u^n)(x) - M_1(u)(x)\right]dx\right| \\
&= \left|\int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n} g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n}\int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx - \int_0^a g(v)(x)v(x)\,dx\right| \\
&= \left|\int_0^a g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx - \int_0^a g(v)(x)v(x)\,dx - \int_{a-\sigma/n}^a g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx\right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^{\sigma/n} g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\sigma/a}^{a-\sigma/n}\int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\right| \\
&\le \left|\int_0^a g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx - \int_0^a g(v)(x)v(x)\,dx\right| + \left|\int_{a-\sigma/n}^a g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx\right| \\
&\quad + \left|\int_0^{\sigma/n} g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx\right| + \frac{1}{2}\left|\int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n}\int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

By addition and subtraction of the term $\int_0^a g(v)(x)v^n(x)\,dx$ in the first term of the above inequality, it results that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^a \phi(x)\left[M_1^n(u^n)(x) - M_1(u)(x)\right]dx\right| \\
&\le \left|\int_0^a g(v)(x)\left[v^n(x) - v(x)\right]dx\right| + \left|\int_0^a \left[g(v^n)(x) - g(v)(x)\right]v^n(x)\,dx\right| + \left|\int_{a-\sigma/n}^a g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx\right| \\
&\quad + \left|\int_0^{\sigma/n} g(v^n)(x)v^n(x)\,dx\right| + \frac{1}{2}\left|\int_{\sigma/n}^{a-\sigma/n}\int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x+y)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by (66)-(69) and taking $n \to \infty$ we have

$$\left|\int_0^a \phi(x)\left[M_1^n(u^n)(x) - M_1(u)(x)\right]dx\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{72}$$

It follows, since ϕ is arbitrary, that

$$M_1^n(u^n)(x) \rightharpoonup M_1(u)(x) \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(]0,a[) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{73}$$

Case $i = 2$: For every $\epsilon > 0$ and C_1 defined by (50) we can choose η large enough, due to the negative exponents, such that for L, Q from our assumptions

$$C_1\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}\left[(2\eta^{-(1+\sigma)} + \eta^{\lambda-\sigma-1})(L^2+Q^2)\right] < \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \tag{74}$$

Redefining the operator g for $u \in Y^+$ and $x \in [0,a]$ by

$$g(v)(x) = \int_0^\eta \phi(x)K(x,y)(xy)^\sigma v(y)\,dy.$$

For *a.e.* $x \in [0,a]$ the function defined by

$$\varphi_x(y) := \frac{1}{2}\chi_{[0,\eta]}(y)\phi(x+y)K(x,y)(xy)^{\sigma}$$

where, as before, χ denotes the characteristic function, is in $L^{\infty}(]0,\infty[)$. Using a similar argument as the one that was used in (61)-(66) it can be shown that also for the above redefined g (66) and (67) hold. By **H3)** and (50) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^a\int_\eta^\infty \phi(x)K(x,y)\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)-u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx\right| \\
&\le C_1\int_0^a\int_\eta^\infty |\phi(x)|\left[(xy)^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma}y^{-\sigma}+x^{-\sigma}y^{\lambda-\sigma}+(xy)^{\lambda-\sigma}\right]\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx \\
&\le C_1\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}\left[\int_0^a\int_\eta^\infty (xy)^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx\right. \\
&\qquad+\int_0^a\int_\eta^\infty x^{\lambda-\sigma}y^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx \\
&\qquad+\int_0^a\int_\eta^\infty x^{-\sigma}y^{\lambda-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx \\
&\qquad\left.+\int_0^a\int_\eta^\infty (xy)^{\lambda-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]dy\,dx\right]. \qquad (75)
\end{aligned}$$

We can estimate the first integral term of (75) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^a\int_\eta^\infty (xy)^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]\,dy\,dx \\
&\le \int_\eta^\infty y^{-\sigma}\left[\int_0^1 x^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]\,dx+\int_1^a x^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]\,dx\right]dy \\
&\le \int_\eta^\infty y^{-\sigma}\left[\int_0^1 x^{-1}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]\,dx+\int_1^a x\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]\,dx\right]dy \\
&\le \int_\eta^\infty y^{-\sigma}\left[\int_0^a (x^{-1}+x)\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]\,dx\right]dy \\
&\le \eta^{-(1+\sigma)}\int_\eta^\infty y\left[Lu^n(y)+Qu(y)\right]\,dy \le \eta^{-(1+\sigma)}(L^2+Q^2). \qquad (76)
\end{aligned}$$

In the similar way we have

$$\int_0^a\int_\eta^\infty x^{\lambda-\sigma}y^{-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]\,dy\,dx \le \eta^{-(1+\sigma)}(L^2+Q^2), \qquad (77)$$

$$\int_0^a\int_\eta^\infty x^{-\sigma}y^{\lambda-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]\,dy\,dx \le \eta^{-(1+\sigma-\lambda)}(L^2+Q^2), \qquad (78)$$

and

$$\int_0^a\int_\eta^\infty (xy)^{\lambda-\sigma}\left[u^n(x)u^n(y)+u(x)u(y)\right]\,dy\,dx \le \eta^{-(1+\sigma-\lambda)}(L^2+Q^2). \qquad (79)$$

By (76)-(79) and (74), (75) becomes

$$\left| \int_0^a \int_\eta^\infty \phi(x)K(x,y)\left[u^n(x)u^n(y) - u(x)u(y)\right]\,dy\,dx \right|$$
$$\leq 2C_1\left(\eta^{-(1+\sigma)} + \eta^{-(1+\sigma-\lambda)}\right)(L^2+Q^2)\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \tag{80}$$

Now, using Lemma 2.6(i) and the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral, we have

$$\left| \int_0^a \int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx \right| \to \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad \text{for } n \text{ larger than some } n_0, \tag{81}$$

and

$$\left| \int_0^{\sigma/n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x} \phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx \right| \to \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0. \tag{82}$$

Also, proceeding as before, for $n > a$ we have

$$\left| \int_0^a \int_{n-x}^\infty \phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx \right| \leq 2\left[(n-a)^{-(1+\sigma)} + (n-a)^{-(1+\sigma-\lambda)}\right](L^2+Q^2)\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}. \tag{83}$$

From (80)-(83) together with the analogues of (66) and (67), for $n > a$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^a \phi(x)\left[M_2^n(u^n)(x) - M_2(u)(x)\right]\,dx \right| \\
&= \Bigg| \int_0^a \int_0^{n-x} \phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx - \int_0^a \int_0^\infty \phi(x)K(x,y)u(x)u(y)\,dy\,dx \\
&\quad - \int_0^a \int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx - \int_0^{\sigma/n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x} \phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx \Bigg| \\
&= \Bigg| \int_0^a \int_0^\eta \phi(x)K(x,y)\left[u^n(x)u^n(y) - u(x)u(y)\right]\,dy\,dx + \int_0^a \int_\eta^\infty \phi(x)K(x,y)\left[u^n(x)u^n(y) - u(x)u(y)\right]\,dy\,dx \\
&\quad - \int_0^a \int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx - \int_0^{\sigma/n} \int_{\sigma/n}^{n-x} \phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx \\
&\quad - \int_0^a \int_{n-x}^\infty \phi(x)K(x,y)u^n(x)u^n(y)\,dy\,dx \Bigg| \\
&\leq \left| \int_0^a \left[g(v^n)(x) - g(v)(x)\right]v^n(x)\,dx \right| + \left| \int_0^a g(v)(x)\left[v^n(x) - v(x)\right]\,dx \right| + \epsilon \\
&\quad + 2\left[(n-a)^{-(1+\sigma)} + (n-a)^{-(1+\sigma-\lambda)}\right](L^2+Q^2)\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])} \leq \epsilon \quad \text{as} \quad n_0 \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since ϕ and ϵ are arbitrary, we conclude that

$$M_2^n(u^n)(x) \rightharpoonup M_2(u)(x) \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(]0,a[) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{84}$$

Case $i = 3$: As $\theta \in [0,1[$ in **H4)** we can choose $r > a$ such that for N from (4) and L, Q from our assumptions

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}N(L+Q)r^{\theta-1} < \epsilon. \tag{85}$$

By a change of the order of integration and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\sigma/n}^{a}\int_{r}^{\infty}\phi(x)b(x,y)S(y)\left[u^n(y)-u(y)\right]\,dy\,dx\right| &= \left|\int_{r}^{\infty}\int_{\sigma/n}^{a}\phi(x)b(x,y)S(y)\left[u^n(y)-u(y)\right]\,dx\,dy\right| \\
&\le \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}N\int_{r}^{\infty}y^{\theta-1}y\left[u^n(y)+u(y)\right]\,dy \\
&\le \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}Nr^{\theta-1}\int_{r}^{\infty}y\left[u^n(y)+u(y)\right]\,dy.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\|u^n\|_Y \le L$ and $\|u\|_Y \le Q$, using (85) we find that

$$\left|\int_{\sigma/n}^{a}\int_{r}^{\infty}\phi(x)b(x,y)S(y)\left[u^n(y)-u(y)\right]\,dy\,dx\right| \le \phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}N(L+Q)r^{\theta-1} \le \epsilon. \tag{86}$$

Now, by changing the order of integration and using (4) and **H5)** we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{0}^{\sigma/n}\int_{x}^{\infty}\phi(x)b(x,y)S(y)u(y)\,dy\,dx\right| \\
&= \left|\int_{0}^{\sigma/n}\int_{0}^{y}\phi(x)b(x,y)S(y)u(y)\,dx\,dy\right| + \left|\int_{\sigma/n}^{\infty}\int_{0}^{\sigma/n}\phi(x)b(x,y)S(y)u(y)\,dx\,dy\right| \\
&\le \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}N\int_{0}^{\sigma/n}y^{\theta}u(y)\,dy + \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}\int_{\sigma/n}^{\infty}\int_{0}^{\sigma/n}y^{\theta}x^{2\sigma}x^{-2\sigma}b(x,y)u(y)\,dx\,dy \\
&\le \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}Nn^{-2\sigma}\int_{0}^{\sigma/n}y^{\theta-2\sigma}u(y)\,dy + \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}Cn^{-2\sigma}\int_{\sigma/n}^{\infty}y^{\theta-2\sigma}u(y)\,dy \\
&= \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}(N+C)n^{-2\sigma}\int_{0}^{\sigma/n}y^{\theta-2\sigma}u(y)\,dy \le \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}(N+C)n^{-2\sigma}Q. \qquad (87)
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we also have

$$\left|\int_{\sigma/n}^{a}\int_{x}^{r}\phi(x)b(x,y)S(y)\left[u^n(y)-u(y)\right]\,dy\,dx\right| \le \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])}Nr^{\theta}\int_{\sigma/n}^{r}|u^n(y)-u(y)|\,dy \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty. \tag{88}$$

Now, from (86)-(88) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^a \phi(x)\left[M_4^n(u^n)(x) - M_4(u)\right]\, dx\right| \\
&\le \left|\int_{\sigma/n}^a \phi(x)\left[M_4^n(u^n)(x) - M_4(u)\right]\, dx\right| + \left|\int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x) M_4(u) dx\right| \\
&= \left|\int_{\sigma/n}^a \int_x^r \phi(x) b(x,y) S(y)\left[u^n(x) - u(x)\right]\, dy\, dx + \int_{\sigma/n}^a \int_r^\infty \phi(x) b(x,y) S(y)\left[u^n(x) - u(x)\right]\, dy\, dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{\sigma/n}^a \int_n^\infty \phi(x) b(x,y) S(y) u^n(x) dy\, dx\right| + \left|\int_0^{\sigma/n} \int_x^\infty \phi(x) b(x,y) S(y) u(y)\, dy\, dx\right| \\
&\le \left|\int_{\sigma/n}^a \int_x^r \phi(x) b(x,y) S(y)\left[u^n(x) - u(x)\right]\, dy\, dx\right| + \epsilon \\
&\quad + \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])} N(L+Q) n^{\theta-1} + \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])} (N+C) n^{-2\sigma} Q \to \epsilon \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ and ϵ are arbitrarily chosen, it follows that

$$M_3^n(u^n)(x) \rightharpoonup M_3(u)(x) \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(]0,a[) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{89}$$

Case $i = 4$: By using **H4)** we have

$$|\phi(x) S(x)| \le \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])} a^\theta \quad \text{for} \quad a.e.\ x \in [0,a].$$

Then, as $\phi(x)S(x) \in L^\infty([0,\infty[)$ for $x \in [0,a]$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^a \phi(x)\left[M_4^n(u^n)(x) - M_4(u)(x)\right]\, dx\right| \\
&\le \left|\int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x)\left[S_n(x) u^n(x) - S(x) u(x)\right]\, dx\right| + \left|\int_{\sigma/n}^a \phi(x)\left[S_n(x) u^n(x) - S(x) u(x)\right]\, dx\right| \\
&= \left|\int_0^{\sigma/n} \phi(x) S(x) u(x)\, dx\right| + \left|\int_{\sigma/n}^a \phi(x) S(x)\left[u^n(x) - u(x)\right]\, dx\right| \\
&\le \|\phi\|_{L^\infty([0,a])} \sigma^\theta n^{-\theta} \int_0^{\sigma/n} u(x)\, dx + \left|\int_{\sigma/n}^a \phi(x) S(x)\left[u^n(x) - u(x)\right]\, dx\right| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ is arbitrarily chosen, it follows that

$$M_4^n(u^n)(x) \rightharpoonup M_4(u)(x) \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(]0,a[) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{90}$$

Lemma 3.1 follows from (73), (84), (89), and (90). □

3.2 The existence result

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose that **H1)-H4)** hold and assume that $u_0 \in Y^+$. Then the problem (1)-(2) has a solution $u \in C_B([0,\infty[, Y^+)$.*

Proof. Choose $T, m > 0$, and let $\left(u^n\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the weakly convergent subsequence of approximating solutions obtained in Lemma 2.7. For $t \in [0,T]$ we obtain by weak convergence and Lemma 2.6(i)

$$\int_0^m x u(x,t)\, dx = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^m x u^n(x,t)\, dx \le L(T) < \infty,$$

and

$$\int_{1/m}^{m} x^{-\sigma}u(x,t)\,dx = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{1/m}^{m} x^{-\sigma}u^n(x,t)\,dx \le L(T) < \infty.$$

Then taking $m \to \infty$ implies that $u \in Y^+$ with $\|u\|_Y \le 2L(T)$. Let $\phi \in L^\infty(]0,a[)$. From Lemma 2.7 we have for each $s \in [0,t]$

$$u^n(s) \rightharpoonup u(s) \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(]0,a[) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{91}$$

From Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 3.1 for each $s \in [0,t]$ we have

$$\int_0^a \phi(x)\left[M^n(u^n(s))(x) - M(u(s))(x)\right]dx \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{92}$$

Also, for $s \in [0,t]$, using Lemma 2.6(i) and $\|u\|_Y \le 2L(T)$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^a |\phi(x)|\,|M^n(u^n(s))(x) - M(u(s))(x)|\,dx \\
&\le \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,a[)}\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^a\int_0^x K(x-y,y)\left[u^n(x-y,s)u^n(y,s) + u(x-y,s)u(y,s)\right]dy\,dx \\
&\qquad + \int_0^a\int_0^{n-x} K(x,y)u^n(x,s)u^n(y,s)\,dy\,dx + \int_0^a\int_0^\infty K(x,y)u(x,s)u(y,s)\,dy\,dx \\
&\qquad + \int_0^a\int_x^n b(x,y)S(y)u^n(y,s)\,dy\,dx + \int_0^a\int_x^\infty b(x,y)S(y)u(y,s)\,dy\,dx \\
&\qquad + \int_0^a S(x)\left[u^n(x,s) + u(x,s)\right]dx\Bigg] \\
&\le 3\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(]0,a[)}\left[10L(T) + (N+1)\right]L(T).
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

Since the left-hand side of (93) is in $L^1(]0,t[)$ we have by (92), (93) and the dominated convergence theorem

$$\left|\int_0^t\int_0^a \phi(x)\left[M^n(u^n(s))(x) - M(u(s))(x)\right]dx\,ds\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{94}$$

Since ϕ was chosen arbitrarily the limit (94) holds for all $\phi \in L^\infty(]0,a[)$. By Fubini's Theorem we get

$$\int_0^t M^n(u^n(s))(x)\,ds \rightharpoonup \int_0^t M(u(s))(x)\,ds \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(]0,a[) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{95}$$

From the definition of M^n for $t \in [0,T]$

$$u^n(t) = \int_0^t M^n(u^n(s))\,ds + u^n(0),$$

and thus it follows by (95), (91) and the uniqueness of weak limits that

$$u(t) = \int_0^t M(u(s))\,ds + u(0).$$

It follows from the fact that T and a are arbitrary that u is a solution to (1) on $C_B([0,\infty[,Y^+)$. And this completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

4 Uniqueness of Solutions

Theorem 4.1 *If **H1)**, **H2)**, **H3')**, **H4')**, and **H5)** hold then the problem (1)-(2) has a unique solution* $u \in C_B([0,\infty[, Y^+)$.

Proof: Let us consider u_1 and u_2 to be solutions to (1)-(2) on $[0,T]$ for $T > 0$ arbitrarily chosen, with $u_1(x,0) = u_2(x,0)$ and set $U = u_1 - u_2$. We define for $n = 1,2,3,\dots$

$$m^n(t) = \int_0^n (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\, |U(x,t)|\, dx.$$

Now, for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $\operatorname{sgn}\big(\delta\big)$ as follows

$$\operatorname{sgn}\big(\delta\big) = \begin{cases} 1 & \delta > 0 \\ 0 & \delta = 0 \\ -1 & \delta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $\zeta(\cdot)$ is an absolutely continuous function of t, then so is $t \mapsto |\zeta(t)|$, and

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\zeta(t)| = \operatorname{sgn}\big(\zeta(t)\big)\frac{d}{dt}\zeta(t) \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{96}$$

Also note that by Definition 1.1 **iv)**, $u(x,\cdot)$ is absolutely continuous on $[0,T]$ for a.e. $x \in [0,\infty[$ and therefore $u(x,t)$ satisfies (1) for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$, see [9, page 354]. Then, taking the difference of the derivative of the solutions u_1 and u_2 in (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial U(x,t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K(x-y,y)\,[u_1(x-y,t)u_1(y,t) - u_2(x-y,t)u_2(y,t)]\,dy \\ &\quad -\int_0^\infty K(x,y)\,[u_1(x,t)u_1(y,t) - u_2(x,t)u_2(y,t)]\,dy + \int_x^\infty b(x,y)S(y)\,[u_1(y,t) - u_2(y,t)]\,dy \\ &\quad -S(x)\,[u_1(x,t) - u_2(x,t)]\,.\end{aligned}$$

Applying (96) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial |U(x,t)|}{\partial t} &= \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,t)\big)\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K(x-y,y)\,[u_1(x-y,t)u_1(y,t) - u_2(x-y,t)u_2(y,t)]\,dy \\ &\quad -\int_0^\infty K(x,y)\,[u_1(x,t)u_1(y,t) - u_2(x,t)u_2(y,t)]\,dy \\ &\quad +\int_x^\infty b(x,y)S(y)\,[u_1(y,t) - u_2(y,t)]\,dy - S(x)\,[u_1(x,t) - u_2(x,t)]\Bigg].\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $(x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})$ and integrating from 0 to t and from 0 to n w.r.t. τ and x respectively, and applying Fubini's Theorem, for each n and $0 < t < T$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}m^n(t) = &\int_0^t\int_0^n (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big)\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x K(x-y,y)\,[u_1(x-y,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x-y,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)]\,dy \\ &-\int_0^\infty K(x,y)\,[u_1(x,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)]\,dy \\ &+\int_x^\infty b(x,y)S(y)\,[u_1(y,t) - u_2(y,t)]\,dy - S(x)\,[u_1(x,t) - u_2(x,t)]\Bigg]\,dx\,d\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

Using the substitution $y - x = x'$ in the first of the inner integrals w.r.t. x and y on the right hand side of (97) we find that it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^n (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big) \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x K(x-y,y)\, [u_1(x-y,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x-y,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)]\, dy\, dx \\
&\quad = \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} \frac{1}{2} \left[(x+y)^{-\sigma} + (x+y)^{\lambda-\sigma}\right] \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x+y,\tau)\big) K(x,y)\, [u_1(x,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)]\, dy\, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into (97) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
m^n(t) = &\int_0^t \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left[(x+y)^{-\sigma} + (x+y)^{\lambda-\sigma}\right] \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x+y,\tau)\big) - (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big)\right] \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot K(x,y)\, [u_1(x,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)]\, dy\, dx\, d\tau \\
&- \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_{n-x}^{\infty} (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big) K(x,y)\, [u_1(x,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)]\, dy\, dx\, d\tau \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_x^{\infty} (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big) b(x,y) S(y)\, [u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(y,\tau)]\, dy\, dx\, d\tau \\
&- \int_0^t \int_0^n (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big) S(x)\, [u_1(x,\tau) - u_2(x,\tau)]\, dx\, d\tau. \qquad (98)
\end{aligned}$$

We note now, using the symmetry of K, by interchanging the order of integration and interchanging the roles of x and y yields the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big) K(x,y)\, [u_1(x,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)]\, dy\, dx \\
&\quad = \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} (y^{-\sigma} + y^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(y,\tau)\big) K(x,y)\, [u_1(x,\tau)u_1(y,\tau) - u_2(x,\tau)u_2(y,\tau)]\, dy\, dx. \qquad (99)
\end{aligned}$$

For $x, y \geq 0$ and $t \in [0,T]$ we define the function w by

$$\begin{aligned}
w(x,y,t) = &\left[(x+y)^{-\sigma} + (x+y)^{\lambda-\sigma}\right] \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x+y,t)\big) \\
&- (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,t)\big) - (y^{-\sigma} + y^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(y,t)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (99) and this definition, we can rewrite (98) as

$$\begin{aligned}
m^n(t) = &\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_0^{n-x} w(x,y,\tau) K(x,y)\, [u_1(x,\tau)U(y,\tau) + u_2(y,\tau)U(x,\tau)]\, dy\, dx\, d\tau \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_x^{\infty} (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big) b(x,y) S(y) U(y,\tau) dy\, dx\, d\tau \\
&- \int_0^t \int_0^n (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big) S(x) U(x,\tau) dx\, d\tau \\
&- \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_{n-x}^{\infty} (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big) K(x,y)\, [u_1(x,\tau)U(y,\tau) + u_2(y,\tau)U(x,\tau)]\, dy\, dx\, d\tau. \qquad (100)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the third integral and the second term in the fourth integral of (100) are positive, we can delete it and get the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
m^n(t) \le\ & \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} w(x,y,\tau)K(x,y)u_1(x,\tau)U(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\,d\tau \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x} w(x,y,\tau)K(x,y)u_2(y,\tau)U(x,\tau)\,dy\,dx\,d\tau \\
&+\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_x^{\infty} \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big)b(x,y)S(y)U(y,\tau)dy\,dx\,d\tau \\
&-\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_{n-x}^{\infty} (x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})\operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big)K(x,y)u_1(x,\tau)U(y,\tau)\,dy\,dx\,d\tau \\
=\ & \int_0^t [I_{31}(\tau)+I_{32}(\tau)+I_{33}(\tau)+I_{34}(\tau)]\,d\tau. \qquad (101)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that for all $q\in\mathbb{R}$ $|q| = q\operatorname{sgn}(q)$ holds, we can estimate

$$w(x,y,t)U(y,t) \le \big[\big[(x+y)^{-\sigma}+(x+y)^{\lambda-\sigma}\big]+(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})-(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\big]\,|U(y,t)|\,.$$

Using the inequalities (9) and (11) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
w(x,y,t)U(y,t) &\le \big[\big[2^{-\sigma-1}(x^{-\sigma}+y^{-\sigma})+(x^{\lambda-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\big]+(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})-(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\big]\,|U(y,t)| \\
&\le \big[\big[(x^{-\sigma}+y^{-\sigma})+(x^{\lambda-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\big]+(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})-(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\big]\,|U(y,t)| \\
&\le 2(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})\,|U(y,t)|\,. \qquad (102)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we use (102) to work on each term of the right hand side of (101)

$$\int_0^t I_{31}(\tau)\,d\tau \le \int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x}(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})K(x,y)u_1(x,\tau)\,|U(y,\tau)|\,dy\,dx\,d\tau. \qquad (103)$$

Using the estimate **H3')** for $K(x,y)$ and (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t I_{31}(\tau)\,d\tau &\le \kappa_1\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x}(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})u_1(x,\tau)\,|U(y,\tau)|\,dy\,dx\,d\tau \\
&= \kappa_1\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x}(x^{-\sigma}+x^{\lambda-\sigma})^2u_1(x,\tau)(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\,|U(y,\tau)|\,dy\,dx\,d\tau \\
&\le 2\kappa_1\int_0^t\int_0^n\int_0^{n-x}\Big(x^{-2\sigma}+x^{2(\lambda-\sigma)}\Big)\,u_1(x,\tau)(y^{-\sigma}+y^{\lambda-\sigma})\,|U(y,\tau)|\,dy\,dx\,d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to $\lambda-\sigma,\sigma\in[0,1/2]$ and the definition of $m^n(t)$ from the inequality above it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t I_{31}(\tau)\,d\tau &\le 2\kappa_1\int_0^t m^n(\tau)\left[\int_0^1\Big(x^{-2\sigma}+x^{2(\lambda-\sigma)}\Big)\,u_1(x,\tau)\,dx+\int_1^n\Big(x^{-2\sigma}+x^{2(\lambda-\sigma)}\Big)\,u_1(x,\tau)dx\right]d\tau \\
&\le 2\kappa_1\int_0^t m^n(\tau)\left[\int_0^1(x^{-1}+1)u_1(x,\tau)\,dx+\int_1^n(1+x)u_1(x,\tau)\,dx\right]d\tau \\
&\le 2\kappa_1\int_0^t m^n(\tau)\left[2\int_0^n x^{-1}u_1(x,\tau)\,dx+2\int_0^n xu_1(x,\tau)\,dx\right]d\tau \le \Lambda_1\int_0^t m^n(\tau)\,d\tau, \qquad (104)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_1 = 4\kappa_1 \sup_{s\in[0,t]} \|u_1(s)\|_Y$. In the same way, there is a constant Λ_2 such that

$$\int_0^t I_{32}(\tau)\,d\tau \le \Lambda_2 \int_0^t m^n(\tau)\,d\tau. \tag{105}$$

Now, changing the order of integration in I_{33} we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t I_{33}(\tau)\,d\tau &= \int_0^t \int_0^n \int_x^\infty \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,\tau)\big) b(x,y)S(y)U(y,\tau)dy\,dx\,d\tau \\
&\le \int_0^t \left[\int_0^n \int_0^y b(x,y)S(y)|U(y,\tau)|dx\,dy + \int_n^\infty \int_0^n b(x,y)S(y)|U(y,\tau)|dx\,dy\right] d\tau \\
&\le \int_0^t \left[\int_0^n \int_0^y b(x,y)S(y)|U(y,\tau)|dx\,dy + \int_n^\infty \int_0^y b(x,y)S(y)|U(y,\tau)|dx\,dy\right] d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (4) and **H4')** we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t I_{33}(\tau)\,d\tau &\le \int_0^t \left[N \int_0^n y^{\lambda-\sigma}|U(y,\tau)|dy + N\int_n^\infty y y^{\theta-1}|U(y,\tau)|dx\right] d\tau \\
&\le \int_0^t \left[N m^n(\tau) + N n^{\theta-1} \int_n^\infty y[u_1(y,\tau)+u_2(y,\tau)]dy\right] d\tau \\
&\le \int_0^t \left[N m^n(\tau) + N n^{\theta-1}\left(\|u_1\|_Y + \|u_2\|_Y\right)\right] d\tau \\
&= N\int_0^t m^n(\tau)\,d\tau + N n^{\theta-1}\left(\|u_1\|_Y + \|u_2\|_Y\right) t.
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

To consider I_{34} we first see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma}) \operatorname{sgn}\big(U(x,t)\big) K(x,y) u_1(x,t) U(y,t)\,dy\,dx\right| \\
&\qquad \le 2\kappa_1 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left(x^{-2\sigma} + x^{2(\lambda-\sigma)}\right)(y^{-\sigma} + y^{\lambda-\sigma}) u_1(x,t)\,|U(y,t)|\,dy\,dx < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the dominated convergence theorem leads to

$$\int_0^t I_{34}(\tau)\,d\tau \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty. \tag{107}$$

Therefore, due to (101), (104)-(107) and taking $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + N$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
m(t) := \int_0^\infty (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\,|U(x,t)|\,dx &= \lim_{n\to\infty} m^n(t) \\
&\le \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^t [I_{31}(\tau) + I_{32}(\tau) + I_{33}(\tau) + I_{34}(\tau)]\,d\tau \\
&\le \lim_{n\to\infty} \Lambda \int_0^t m^n(\tau)\,d\tau + \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^t I_{34}(\tau)\,d\tau \\
&= \Lambda \int_0^t \int_0^\infty (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\,|U(x,t)|\,dx\,d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

From where we have the inequality

$$m(t) \leq \Lambda \int_0^t m(\tau)\,d\tau. \tag{108}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality, see e.g. [14, page 361], we obtain

$$m(t) = \int_0^\infty (x^{-\sigma} + x^{\lambda-\sigma})\,|U(x,t)|\,dx = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Thus, we have that

$$u_1(x,t) = u_2(x,t) \quad \text{for a.e.} \quad x \in]0,\infty[.$$

□

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the International Max-Planck Research School, 'Analysis, Design and Optimization in Chemical and Biochemical Process Engineering', Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg. The authors thank gratefully for the funding of C. Cueto Camejo through this PhD program by the state of Saxony-Anhalt.

References

[1] Ash, R. B. *Measure, Integration and Functional Analysis.* Academic Press, New York, 1972.

[2] Cueto Camejo, C., Gröpler, R., Warnecke, G. Existence and uniqueness of solutions to the coagulation equations with singular kernels. *Manuscript*, 2012.

[3] Edwards, R. E. *Functional Analysis, Theory and Applications.* Holt, Rinehart and Wiston, Inc., 1965.

[4] Giri, A. K. *Mathematical and numerical analysis for coagulation-fragmentation equations.* PhD thesis, 2010.

[5] Giri, A. K., Kumar, J., Warnecke, G. The continuous coagulation equation with multiple fragmentation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 374:71–87, 2011.

[6] Giri, A. K., Laurençot, P., Warnecke, G. Weak solutions to the continuous coagulation equation with multiple fragmentation. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 75:2199–2208, 2012.

[7] Laurençot, P. On a class of continuous coagulation-fragmentation equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 167:245–274, 2000.

[8] Melzak, Z. A. A scalar transport equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 85:547–560, 1957.

[9] Nielsen, O. A. *An Introduction to Integration and Measure Theory.* A Wiley-Interscience Publication, 1997.

[10] Pruppacher, H. R., Klett, J. D. *Microphysics of Clouds and Precipitation.* Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2007.

[11] Smoluchowski, M. Versuch einer mathematischen Theorie der Koagulationskinetik kolloider Lösungen. *Zeitschrift für physikalische Chemie*, XCII:129–168, 1917.

[12] Stewart, I. W. A global existence theorem for the general coagulation-fragmentation equation with unbounded kernels. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 11:627–648, 1989.

[13] Stewart, I. W. A uniqueness theorem for the coagulation-fragmentation equation. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc*, 107:573–578, 1990.

[14] Walter, W. *Analysis 1.* Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.

[15] Ziff, R. M. Kinetics of polymerization. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 23:241–263, 1980.